JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES AND INNOVATIONS
VOLUME 5, ISSUE 04
MONTHLY JOURNALS
ISSN NUMBER: 2751-4390
IMPACT FACTOR: 9,08

THE STRATEGIC IMPERATIVE OF TEACHING SPEAKING SKILLS IN ENGLISH FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES (ESP)

Isanova Visola Oybekovna
Jizzakh state pedagogical university(JDPU)
oybekovna_vs@mail.ru

Introduction:

In the contemporary globalized economy, English has transcended its role as a mere "foreign language" to become a functional tool for professional survival. English for Specific Purposes (ESP) focuses on the specific language needs of learners in particular fields—be it engineering, medicine, law, or business.

While reading and writing were historically prioritized, the shift toward a communicative approach has highlighted a critical gap: **oral proficiency**. Speaking is no longer a secondary skill; it is the primary medium through which professional transactions and academic collaborations occur.

Theoretical Framework: Speaking as a Productive Skill

Teaching speaking in ESP is not just about phonology or grammar; it is about **communicative competence** within a specific discourse community. According to Hymes' theory, a speaker must know not only how to construct a sentence but also when and to whom it should be addressed.In ESP, this involves:

- **Accuracy:** Using correct technical terminology.
- **Fluency:** Maintaining a professional pace in high-pressure environments (e.g., an air traffic controller or a surgeon).
- **Sociolinguistic Appropriacy:** Understanding the "jargon" and etiquette of the specific field.

The Challenges of ESP Speaking Instruction

Teaching speaking to professionals or specialized students presents unique hurdles:

- **Authenticity:** Finding real-world scenarios that mimic the student's future workplace.
- **Psychological Barriers:** High-stakes environments (like a business pitch) can cause anxiety, leading to a breakdown in communication.
- **The Content-Language Balance:** Teachers must often balance teaching the language of a subject they may not be experts in (e.g., teaching the English of Petroleum Engineering).

The Nature of Oral Production

Speaking is a complex cognitive process that involves three main stages: **conceptualization, formulation, and articulation**. In ESP, this process is doubly demanding. A professional (e.g., an IT specialist) must not only retrieve the correct linguistic tokens but also ensure that the conceptual content aligns with technical accuracy.

According to Levelt's (1989) model of speech production, the speaker must monitor their output in real-time. In ESP, this "monitoring" includes checking for **professional appropriacy**—ensuring the tone is suitable for a formal presentation or a technical briefing.

Communicative Competence and ESP

The theoretical heart of teaching speaking lies in **Communicative Competence**, a term coined by Dell Hymes (1972) and later refined by Canale and Swain (1980). For an ESP learner, being "fluent" is insufficient; they must be "competent" across four dimensions:

1. **Linguistic Competence:** Mastery of the specialized lexicon (e.g., medical terminology or legal jargon).
2. **Sociolinguistic Competence:** Understanding the social rules of the profession (e.g., how a doctor delivers bad news versus how an engineer reports a technical failure).
3. **Discourse Competence:** The ability to combine ideas into a coherent professional narrative, such as a business pitch or a research defense.
4. **Strategic Competence:** The ability to use "repair strategies" when a technical word is forgotten, ensuring the professional communication does not break down.

The Interaction Hypothesis

In the realm of ESP, Michael Long's **Interaction Hypothesis (1996)** is vital. It suggests that language proficiency is best acquired through "negotiation of meaning" during interaction. When an ESP student engages in a simulated technical debate, they are forced to adjust their output based on the listener's feedback. This "pushed output" is what leads to the development of higher-level speaking functions like synthesizing information and persuading peers.

Speaking as an Identity Marker

Theoretically, speaking in ESP is also an act of **identity construction**. When a student learns to speak like a professional, they are transitioning from an outsider to a member of a "Discourse Community" (Swales, 1990). Therefore, teaching speaking is not merely about grammar; it is about providing the learner with the "verbal uniform" required to stand as an equal among international colleagues.

Methodological Approaches to ESP Speaking

To bridge the gap between classroom theory and workplace practice, several modern methodologies are employed:

Method	Description	Primary Benefit
Task-Based Learning (TBL)	Students complete a professional task (e.g., diagnosing a patient).	Focuses on the end goal, reducing language anxiety.
Case Studies	Analyzing a business problem and debating solutions.	Develops critical thinking and argumentative speaking.

Method	Description	Primary Benefit
Simulations/Role Play	Mimicking a courtroom or a board meeting.	Provides a "safe space" to practice technical vocabulary.

Methodological Framework for Enhancing Speaking Skills in ESP

The instruction of speaking within ESP requires a departure from traditional General English methods. In ESP, the methodology must be **needs-driven** and **context-specific**. The following pedagogical strategies are currently recognized as the most effective for developing oral proficiency in professional settings.

Simulation and High-Fidelity Role-Play

Unlike basic role-plays in General English (e.g., "at the grocery store"), ESP simulations require **high-fidelity** to the professional environment.

- **Application:** For students of International Law, this involves a moot court simulation where students must argue a case using specific legal precedents and formal courtroom etiquette.
- **Pedagogical Value:** This method forces the learner to utilize **$ESP-lexis$** (specialized vocabulary) under cognitive pressure, mimicking the authentic "stress" of their future profession.

Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) in Professional Contexts

TBLT is particularly effective in ESP because it prioritizes the completion of a functional task over the mere practice of a grammatical structure. The framework follows a three-stage process:

1. **Pre-task:** The instructor introduces the professional scenario (e.g., a medical consultation) and provides necessary linguistic scaffolding.
2. **Task Cycle:** Students perform the task in pairs or groups. For instance, an engineering student must explain a technical blueprint to a "client" (the teacher or a peer).
3. **Language Focus:** After the task, the instructor analyzes the linguistic gaps, correcting technical pronunciation and stylistic errors.

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) and Case Studies

For ESP learners in Business or Management, speaking is often about **negotiation and persuasion**. Using real-world case studies (e.g., analyzing a company's market failure) encourages:

- **Collaborative Dialogue:** Students discuss solutions in the target language.
- **Public Speaking:** Presenting findings to the "board of directors."
- **Argumentation:** Defending a point of view against critical questioning.

The Integration of Digital Mediation and AI

In the modern ESP classroom, speaking methodology now includes **Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL)**.

- **Asynchronous Speaking:** Students record professional "pitches" or reports using video tools, allowing for self-reflection and peer-review.
- **AI Simulations:** Utilizing AI chatbots to practice specific professional dialogues (e.g., handling a difficult customer in the hospitality industry) provides a low-anxiety environment for repetitive practice.

Method	Description	Primary Benefit
Task-Based Learning (TBL)	Students complete a professional task (e.g., diagnosing a patient).	Focuses on the end goal, reducing language anxiety.
Case Studies	Analyzing a business problem and debating solutions.	Develops critical thinking and argumentative speaking.
Simulations/Role Play	Mimicking a courtroom or a board meeting.	Provides a "safe space" to practice technical vocabulary.

Conclusion and Future Directions

The importance of speaking in ESP cannot be overstated. As the professional world becomes more interconnected, the ability to articulate complex ideas clearly and persuasively is the ultimate competitive advantage. Future ESP curricula must integrate **AI-driven speaking tools** and **virtual reality simulations** to provide students with immersive, field-specific speaking practice.

Ultimately, the goal of the ESP teacher is to transform the student from a "learner of English" into a "practitioner in English."

References

- **Anthony, L.** (2018). Introducing English for Specific Purposes. Routledge.
- **Belcher, D. D.** (2006). English for specific purposes: Teaching to perceived needs and imagined futures in worlds of work, study, and everyday life. TESOL Quarterly, 40(1), 133–156.
- **Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M. J.** (1998). Developments in English for Specific Purposes: A multi-disciplinary approach. Cambridge University Press.
- **Flowerdew, J., & Peacock, M.** (2001). Research Perspectives on English for Academic Purposes. Cambridge University Press.
- **Hutchinson, T., & Waters, A.** (1987). English for Specific Purposes: A learning-centred approach. Cambridge University Press.
- **Hyland, K.** (2006). English for Academic Purposes: An Advanced Resource Book. Routledge.

- **Nunan, D.** (2004). Task-Based Language Teaching. Cambridge University Press.
- **Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S.** (2014). Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching. Cambridge University Press.