THE EVOLUTION OF THE CONCEPT OF HAPPINESS IN PHILOSOPHY

Kim Nyu Lien

Philosophical researcher

Abstract: The concept of happiness has occupied a central position in philosophical inquiry since antiquity. Different philosophical traditions have interpreted happiness in various ways, linking it to virtue, pleasure, reason, or personal fulfillment. This article analyzes the historical evolution of the concept of happiness from ancient Greek philosophy to modern ethical theories. Through comparative philosophical analysis, the study examines how understandings of happiness have transformed across different intellectual periods. The findings indicate that although definitions of happiness vary, the concept consistently reflects the human search for meaning and well-being. The evolution of this concept demonstrates the dynamic relationship between ethics, culture, and human nature.

Keywords: Happiness, eudaimonia, virtue ethics, utilitarianism, well-being, philosophy

Introduction

Happiness has long been regarded as one of the highest goals of human life. In ancient philosophy, the question of how to achieve happiness was central to ethical reflection. Aristotle described happiness, or eudaimonia, as the ultimate end of human action and the fulfillment of human potential. For him, happiness was achieved through the practice of virtue and rational activity.

In later periods, philosophical interpretations of happiness shifted. Epicureans associated happiness with pleasure and the absence of pain, while Stoics linked it to inner tranquility and living according to nature. In modern philosophy, utilitarian thinkers such as John Stuart Mill connected happiness to the maximization of overall pleasure and well-being. The purpose of this article is to trace the evolution of the concept of happiness and analyze its changing philosophical foundations.

Methods

This research is based on qualitative historical and philosophical analysis. Major philosophical works from ancient, medieval, and modern periods were examined to identify key interpretations of happiness. Comparative analysis was used to evaluate similarities and differences between various traditions. Conceptual clarification was applied to distinguish between happiness as pleasure, virtue, or psychological satisfaction.

Results

The analysis shows that in ancient Greek philosophy, happiness was closely related to virtue and moral excellence. Aristotle emphasized rational activity in accordance with virtue as the highest form of happiness.

During the Hellenistic period, Epicurus argued that happiness consists in achieving pleasure and avoiding pain, particularly mental disturbance. Stoic philosophers, on the other hand, maintained that happiness depends on inner freedom and acceptance of fate.

In modern philosophy, utilitarianism defined happiness in terms of measurable pleasure and collective well-being. Contemporary discussions often associate happiness with subjective well-being, life satisfaction, and psychological health. Thus, the concept has gradually expanded from moral virtue to include emotional and social dimensions.

Discussion

The transformation of the concept of happiness reflects broader changes in philosophical priorities. Ancient philosophy emphasized objective standards of virtue, whereas modern approaches often focus on individual experience and social utility. Despite these differences, the pursuit of happiness remains a universal human concern.

Some critics argue that modern interpretations risk reducing happiness to temporary pleasure or consumer satisfaction. Others suggest that integrating virtue, rationality, and emotional well-being provides a more balanced understanding. Therefore, the evolution of the concept demonstrates the need for a multidimensional approach to happiness.

Conclusion

The philosophical development of the concept of happiness reveals both continuity and change. From Aristotle's virtue-based eudaimonia to modern theories of subjective well-being, happiness has been interpreted in diverse ways. Nevertheless, it consistently represents humanity's aspiration for a meaningful and fulfilled life. The study confirms that happiness remains a fundamental category in ethical philosophy and human self-understanding.

References

1. Aristotle. Nicomachean Ethics. Oxford University Press, 2009.

2. Epicurus. The Art of Happiness. Penguin Classics, 2012.

3. Epictetus. Discourses and Selected Writings. Penguin Classics, 2008.

4. Mill, J. S. Utilitarianism. Hackett Publishing, 2001.

5. Nussbaum, M. The Therapy of Desire. Princeton University Press, 1994