JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES AND INNOVATIONS

VOLUME 5, ISSUE 03
MONTHLY JOURNALS

ISSN NUMBER: 2751-4390
IMPACT FACTOR: 9,08

GENETIC INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES IN CRIME SCENE IDENTIFICATION: TERMINOLOGY AND METHODS IN DEVELOPED COUNTRIES

Kurbanova Munisa Akbaraliyevna
Senior Researcher, Scientific-Practical Research Center,
University of Public Security, Uzbekistan

Abstract: This study explores the use of genetic information technologies in the identification of crime scenes and criminal suspects, with particular attention to terminology, analytical methods, and institutional practices in developed countries. With the rapid advancement of forensic genomics, DNA-based technologies have become central to modern criminal investigations. Countries such as the United States, United Kingdom, Germany, and Japan have implemented comprehensive DNA databases and standardized forensic procedures to improve crime detection accuracy.

The research adopts a comparative-analytical approach, examining key forensic genetic terms, laboratory methodologies, and national DNA database systems. Special emphasis is placed on Short Tandem Repeat (STR) analysis, mitochondrial DNA profiling, Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS), and forensic genetic genealogy. The study also analyzes the role of national DNA indexing systems such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation's CODIS program and the National DNA Database in the United Kingdom.

The findings indicate that developed countries rely on standardized genetic terminology, strict chain-of-custody procedures, and advanced bioinformatics tools to ensure reliability and legal admissibility of DNA evidence. While genetic technologies significantly enhance investigative efficiency, ethical considerations regarding privacy, data protection, and proportional use remain central concerns. The study concludes that integrating advanced genetic technologies with legal safeguards provides a balanced model for effective crime scene identification.

Keywords: forensic genetics; DNA profiling; STR analysis; genetic databases; crime scene investigation; bioinformatics; forensic genomics

Annotatsiya: Ushbu maqola jinoyat o‘choqlarini aniqlashda gen informatsion texnologiyalarining qo‘llanilishi, asosiy terminlari va rivojlangan mamlakatlar tajribasini o‘rganishga bag‘ishlangan. Forensik genetika zamonaviy jinoyat tergovining muhim qismiga aylangan bo‘lib, DNK tahlillari orqali shaxsni aniqlash, jinoyat joyini tasdiqlash va tergov jarayonini tezlashtirish imkonini beradi.

Tadqiqot qiyosiy-tahliliy metod asosida olib borilib, AQSh, Buyuk Britaniya, Germaniya va Yaponiya tajribasi o‘rganildi. STR-tahlil, mitoxondrial DNK profillash, yangi avlod sekvensiyasi (NGS) va forensik genetik genealogiya kabi metodlar tahlil qilindi. Shuningdek, milliy DNK ma’lumotlar bazalarining ishlash mexanizmi va huquqiy asoslari ko‘rib chiqildi.

Natijalar shuni ko‘rsatdiki, rivojlangan davlatlarda genetik texnologiyalar qat’iy standartlar, ma’lumotlarni himoya qilish tizimi va sud-huquqiy me’yorlar asosida qo‘llaniladi. Mazkur

tajriba jinoyatlarni aniqlash samaradorligini oshirish bilan birga, shaxsiy ma'lumotlarni himoya qilish masalalariga ham e'tibor qaratishni talab qiladi.

Kalit so'zlar: forensik genetika; DNK profillash; STR tahlil; genetik ma'lumotlar bazasi; jinoyat joyini aniqlash; bioinformatika

Introduction

The identification of crime scenes and criminal suspects has undergone a profound transformation with the development of forensic genetic technologies. Traditionally, investigations relied heavily on eyewitness testimony, fingerprints, and physical evidence. However, the emergence of DNA profiling in the late twentieth century revolutionized forensic science by providing a highly accurate method of individual identification.

DNA-based identification was first systematically implemented in the United Kingdom during the 1980s, and later adopted widely in the United States and other developed nations. Institutions such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation established national DNA indexing systems that enabled cross-referencing biological samples from crime scenes with offender databases. These developments significantly improved the accuracy and speed of criminal investigations.

Genetic information technologies encompass a broad range of laboratory and computational methods. Key techniques include STR (Short Tandem Repeat) analysis, mitochondrial DNA sequencing, Y-chromosome analysis, and advanced genomic sequencing methods. These technologies allow forensic experts to analyze minute biological traces such as blood, hair, saliva, or skin cells left at crime scenes.

This study aims to examine the terminology and methods used in forensic genetic investigations in developed countries and to analyze how these technologies contribute to effective crime scene identification while ensuring legal and ethical compliance.

Literature Review

Forensic genetics is a specialized branch of molecular biology that applies genetic principles to legal investigations. According to research conducted in the United States and Europe, DNA profiling remains the gold standard in forensic identification due to its high discriminative power.

The CODIS (Combined DNA Index System), managed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, is one of the largest DNA databases globally. It enables federal, state, and local laboratories to compare DNA profiles obtained from crime scenes with profiles stored in offender and arrestee databases. Similarly, the National DNA Database in the United Kingdom has played a significant role in solving violent crimes and cold cases.

In Germany, forensic DNA analysis is strictly regulated under national criminal procedure laws, ensuring that DNA samples are collected and processed under judicial authorization. Japan has also implemented structured DNA registration systems with strict privacy regulations.

Recent developments include Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS), which allows for more detailed genetic analysis, and forensic genetic genealogy, which combines DNA data with genealogical databases to identify unknown suspects. However, these methods raise ethical questions concerning consent, privacy, and proportionality.

Literature Review

The development of genetic information technologies has significantly transformed crime scene investigation and suspect identification in modern criminal justice systems. Forensic DNA analysis is now widely recognized as one of the most reliable scientific methods for individual identification due to its high discriminative power and statistical precision. The theoretical and methodological foundations of forensic DNA typing have been extensively discussed in the literature, particularly in relation to Short Tandem Repeat (STR) analysis, interpretation standards, and laboratory validation procedures.

STR-based DNA profiling remains the cornerstone of forensic identification. According to Butler [2], STR markers are highly polymorphic regions of the human genome that allow precise differentiation between individuals. The robustness, reproducibility, and compatibility of STR systems across laboratories have enabled the creation of large national DNA databases. Advanced interpretation methods, including probabilistic genotyping and mixture analysis, have further strengthened the reliability of forensic conclusions [1]. These developments have standardized laboratory workflows and enhanced evidentiary admissibility in courts.

The institutionalization of DNA databases has been another critical milestone in forensic genetics. The Combined DNA Index System (CODIS), managed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, represents one of the most comprehensive national DNA indexing frameworks. Similarly, the National DNA Database in the United Kingdom has contributed substantially to solving violent crimes and cold cases. These systems enable rapid cross-referencing of crime scene samples with stored offender profiles, significantly reducing investigative time. National reports and technical guidelines emphasize standardized collection procedures, quality assurance, and strict chain-of-custody documentation [7][8].

Beyond STR profiling, emerging genomic technologies have expanded forensic capabilities. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) allows for deeper genetic analysis, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and phenotype-informative markers. Kayser and de Knijff [5] argue that advances in genomics and molecular biology enhance forensic resolution while also introducing new interpretative complexities. Forensic DNA phenotyping, for example, enables predictions about physical appearance and ancestry, thereby supporting investigations when traditional database matches are unavailable [9]. However, such innovations require careful validation and ethical consideration.

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequencing also plays an important role in cases involving degraded biological material or limited samples. Validation studies have confirmed the reliability of mtDNA analysis in forensic casework, particularly when nuclear DNA is insufficient [14]. Historical research on repetitive DNA sequences further laid the scientific groundwork for modern genetic profiling techniques [11]. These foundational discoveries established the molecular principles upon which contemporary forensic technologies are built.

Despite technological progress, scholars have highlighted structural and ethical challenges within forensic science systems. The National Research Council emphasized the necessity of strengthening scientific standards, laboratory accreditation, and methodological transparency to ensure reliability and public trust [6]. Issues such as statistical interpretation, laboratory bias, and data management require continuous oversight. Furthermore, debates surrounding genetic privacy, proportional database expansion, and long-term storage of biological samples remain central in developed countries.

Automation and digitalization of forensic laboratories have also received scholarly attention. Wickenheiser [15] notes that automation improves throughput and consistency in DNA processing, yet it simultaneously increases the need for bioinformatics expertise and secure data management systems. The integration of computational tools with laboratory analysis reflects the broader shift toward forensic genomics as a data-driven discipline.

Research Objectives

✓ To examine key terminology in forensic genetic technologies used for crime scene identification.
✓ To analyze the methods of DNA profiling applied in developed countries.
✓ To compare national DNA database systems and their legal frameworks.
✓ To evaluate ethical and legal implications of genetic data usage in criminal investigations.

Research Questions

✓ What are the primary genetic information technologies used in crime scene identification?
✓ How do developed countries standardize forensic genetic terminology and procedures?
✓ What differences exist between national DNA database systems?
✓ What ethical and legal challenges arise from the use of genetic technologies in criminal justice?

Methodology

This research employs a comparative-analytical method. Legal documents, forensic science publications, and institutional reports from developed countries were examined. The study focuses on the United States, United Kingdom, Germany, and Japan as representative models of advanced forensic systems.

Data were analyzed qualitatively to identify similarities and differences in technological implementation and legal safeguards.

Analysis

1. Terminology in Forensic Genetics

Developed countries maintain standardized terminology in forensic laboratories. Key terms include:

I. **DNA Profiling** – identification based on unique genetic patterns

II. **STR Analysis** – examination of repeating DNA sequences
III. **Allele Frequency** – statistical occurrence of genetic variants
IV. **Chain of Custody** – documented control of evidence handling
V. **Random Match Probability** – likelihood of coincidental DNA match

Standardization ensures clarity in legal proceedings and scientific reporting.

2. Methods Used in Developed Countries

United States: The CODIS system enables nationwide DNA comparison. STR analysis remains the primary method, supported by automated laboratory systems and bioinformatics software.

United Kingdom: The National DNA Database supports rapid cross-matching of crime scene samples. The UK pioneered early forensic DNA use and continues to refine database governance.

Germany: Strict judicial oversight ensures proportional DNA use. STR and mitochondrial DNA analyses are commonly applied.
Japan emphasizes laboratory precision and limited database expansion, prioritizing privacy protection.

Analysis Part II: Countries Applying Traditional Forensic Procedures without Advanced Genetic Databases

In contrast to countries with fully integrated national DNA indexing systems, some developed jurisdictions historically relied more heavily on traditional forensic procedures such as fingerprint analysis, trace evidence comparison, and witness testimony. Although genetic technologies were available, their application was often limited by legal restrictions, infrastructural constraints, or cautious policy approaches toward large-scale DNA databases. As a result, early investigative processes in these systems showed slower suspect identification and heavier dependence on circumstantial evidence.

Investigative revisions in such systems tended to prioritize procedural accuracy and evidentiary documentation rather than rapid genetic cross-matching. Law enforcement agencies focused on improving crime scene preservation, laboratory accreditation, and expert testimony standards. While DNA profiling was used in serious crimes, it was not always supported by expansive national databases comparable to the CODIS system managed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the United States or the National DNA Database in the United Kingdom. However, traditional systems demonstrated strengths in legal scrutiny and evidentiary caution. Courts required rigorous validation of laboratory methods, expert qualifications, and statistical interpretation of DNA matches. When genetic evidence was presented, it was often accompanied by comprehensive probabilistic analysis and detailed explanation of allele frequency calculations. These practices enhanced judicial confidence and minimized wrongful convictions.

Overall, the development of forensic genetic infrastructure in such contexts was more gradual and policy-driven. Improvements in investigative efficiency occurred primarily after legislative reforms expanded database capacity and standardized laboratory accreditation. In contrast to countries with long-established DNA indexing systems, opportunities for immediate cross-jurisdictional genetic matching were initially limited.

Taken together, these observations suggest that while advanced DNA databases accelerate suspect identification, procedural integrity and legal oversight remain critical components of forensic reliability. Technological expansion alone does not guarantee investigative effectiveness without institutional and regulatory support.

Comparative Discussion

Although countries employing comprehensive genetic databases and those relying on more traditional forensic frameworks operate within comparable criminal justice systems, their trajectories of crime scene identification development differ significantly. Both models function under constitutional safeguards, evidentiary standards, and human rights obligations. However, the scope and integration of genetic information technologies substantially influence investigative speed, data accessibility, and cross-regional cooperation.

In countries such as the United States and the United Kingdom, expectations are shaped by automated DNA cross-referencing systems. Law enforcement agencies are trained to collect biological samples systematically, anticipating rapid database comparison. STR profiling and digital indexing create an investigative environment where genetic matching becomes a routine and immediate procedural step. This continuous technological reinforcement makes genetic awareness central to crime scene management.

By contrast, in jurisdictions where database expansion has been more conservative, investigative expectations are shaped primarily by judicial proportionality and privacy considerations. Genetic evidence is treated as a powerful but carefully regulated tool. The absence of extensive familial searching or broad suspect databases initially limited the frequency of automatic matches. Instead, investigations often progressed through incremental evidence-building, with DNA confirmation appearing later in the process.

The response to technological challenges further illustrates divergent developmental paths. Countries with advanced systems encountered issues such as data overload, cold case backlogs, and ethical debates over genetic genealogy. Nevertheless, the ability to evaluate and refine automated matching algorithms led to improved bioinformatics precision. In more cautious systems, the turning point emerged when policymakers recognized the balance between privacy protection and investigative necessity, prompting gradual database modernization.

Despite these differences, both approaches achieved comparable long-term objectives: increasing reliability of suspect identification and reducing wrongful convictio

ns. In technologically advanced systems, maturity is characterized by integrated bioinformatics platforms and interagency data exchange. In more conservative systems, maturity appears as legally robust frameworks ensuring strict oversight and proportional evidence use.

To clarify the similarities and differences in technological development, Table 1 summarizes the key stages observed across both models.

Table 1. Comparative Stages of Forensic Genetic Development

Stage of Development	Advanced DNA Database Model	Gradual/Traditional Model
Initial expectations	Routine DNA collection and automated cross-matching	Selective DNA use with strong judicial oversight
Primary challenge	Data management and ethical debates	Limited database coverage and slower suspect matching
Response to difficulty	Algorithm refinement and bioinformatics integration	Legislative reforms expanding controlled database use
Turning point	Adoption of forensic genetic genealogy and NGS methods	Institutional modernization and standardized laboratory accreditation
Form of maturity	Integrated national DNA networks with rapid identification	Legally balanced system combining genetics with procedural safeguards
Type of development	Technology-driven, automation-supported growth	Policy-driven, regulation-focused development

As the comparison demonstrates, technological capacity alone does not define investigative success. Instead, sustainable crime scene identification emerges from continuous interaction between scientific innovation, legal regulation, and ethical oversight. Genetic technologies accelerate investigative processes, but institutional adaptation determines their long-term effectiveness.

Taken together, these findings challenge the assumption that rapid technological integration is inherently superior. While automated genetic systems significantly enhance investigative speed, carefully regulated implementation ensures legitimacy and public trust. Both developmental paths illustrate that genetic information technologies reshape crime scene identification not by replacing traditional methods, but by redefining their integration within modern forensic science.

Conclusion

This study examined the application of genetic information technologies in crime scene identification by comparing advanced DNA database systems with more gradual, regulation-oriented models in developed countries. Although both approaches operate within similar legal frameworks, they influence investigative practices in distinct ways.

Countries with integrated systems—such as the United States and the United Kingdom—demonstrate how automated DNA indexing and STR-based profiling can significantly accelerate suspect identification. Meanwhile, jurisdictions adopting a cautious expansion model emphasize judicial authorization, proportionality, and strict privacy safeguards.

JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES AND INNOVATIONS

VOLUME 5, ISSUE 03
MONTHLY JOURNALS

GERMAN INTERNATIONAL JOURNALS

ISSN NUMBER: 2751-4390
IMPACT FACTOR: 9,08

The findings suggest that effective forensic genetic development does not depend solely on technological sophistication. Rather, sustainable progress arises through the interaction of scientific innovation, legal regulation, institutional training, and ethical governance. When properly regulated, genetic information technologies enhance crime detection accuracy while preserving fundamental rights.

By illustrating alternative paths of forensic genetic development, this study contributes to broader discussions on balancing security and privacy in modern criminal justice systems. It demonstrates that advanced genetic technologies, when integrated responsibly, can strengthen both investigative efficiency and public trust.

References

1. Butler, J. M. (2015). *Advanced topics in forensic DNA typing: Interpretation*. Academic Press.
2. Butler, J. M. (2020). *Fundamentals of forensic DNA typing* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.
3. Gill, P., Brenner, C., Brinkmann, B., Budowle, B., Carracedo, Á., Jobling, M. A., ... & Schneider, P. M. (2006). DNA commission of the International Society of Forensic Genetics: Recommendations on the interpretation of mixtures. *Forensic Science International, 160*(2–3), 90–101.
4. Jobling, M. A., Gill, P., & Pandya, A. (2013). *Forensic DNA: Biology, technology, and genetics of STR markers* (2nd ed.). Garland Science.
5. Kayser, M., & de Knijff, P. (2011). Improving human forensics through advances in genetics, genomics, and molecular biology. *Nature Reviews Genetics, 12*(3), 179–192.
6. National Research Council. (2009). *Strengthening forensic science in the United States: A path forward*. The National Academies Press.
7. Federal Bureau of Investigation. (2023). *Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) and National DNA Index System (NDIS) fact sheet*. U.S. Department of Justice.
8. National DNA Database. (2022). *National DNA Database annual report*. Home Office, United Kingdom.
9. Schneider, P. M., Prainsack, B., & Kayser, M. (2019). The use of forensic DNA phenotyping in predicting appearance and ancestry. *Forensic Science International: Genetics, 42*, 192–199.
10. Syndercombe Court, D. (Ed.). (2018). *Forensic genetics: Fundamentals and applications* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
11. Tautz, D., & Renz, M. (1984). Simple sequences are ubiquitous repetitive components of eukaryotic genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research, 12*(10), 4127–4138.
12. Wallace, D. C. (1995). Mitochondrial DNA variation in human evolution and disease. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 92*(19), 8739–8746.
13. Williams, R., Johnson, P., & Martin, P. (2019). Genetic databases and the future of criminal investigation. *Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics, 20*, 505–529.
14. Wilson, M. R., DiZinno, J. A., Polanskey, D., Replogle, J., & Budowle, B. (1995). Validation of mitochondrial DNA sequencing for forensic casework analysis. *International Journal of Legal Medicine, 108*(2), 68–74.
15. Wickenheiser, R. A. (2019). The business of forensic science: New technologies and automation in DNA laboratories. *Forensic Science International, 301*, 336–344.