JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES AND INNOVATIONS

VOLUME 5, ISSUE 02
MONTHLY JOURNALS

ISSN NUMBER: 2751-4390
IMPACT FACTOR: 9,08

MEASURES OF FACEBOOK, TWITTER, TIKTOK, AND GOOGLE AGAINST FAKE NEWS

Ómirbaeva Aziza

Republic of Karakalpakstan, Master's Student at Karakalpak State University.

Abstract:

The rapid spread of fake news on social media significantly influences public opinion, political decisions, and national security. Platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, and Google have implemented various measures to curb the dissemination of fake news and provide verified information. This paper analyzes the mechanisms applied by each platform, including fact-checking systems and algorithmic filters, and evaluates the effectiveness and social impact of these measures.

Keywords:

fake news, social media, Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, Google, fact-checking, disinformation

Аннотация:

Быстрое распространение фейковых новостей в социальных сетях значительно влияет на общественное мнение, политические решения и национальную безопасность. Платформы, такие как Facebook, Twitter, TikTok и Google, внедрили различные меры по сокращению распространения фейковых новостей и предоставлению проверенной информации. В данной статье анализируются механизмы, используемые каждой платформой, включая системы проверки фактов и алгоритмические фильтры, а также оценивается эффективность и социальное влияние этих мер.

Ключевые слова:

фейковые новости, социальные сети, Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, Google, проверка фактов, дезинформация

Annotatsiya:

Ijtimoiy tarmoqlarda feyk axborotning tez tarqalishi jamoat fikri, siyosiy qarorlar va milliy xavfsizlikka sezilarli ta'sir ko'rsatadi. Facebook, Twitter, TikTok va Google kabi platformalar feyk axborot tarqalishini kamaytirish va tekshirilgan ma'lumotni taqdim etish uchun turli choralarni joriy qilgan. Ushbu maqolada har bir platforma tomonidan qo'llanilayotgan mexanizmlar, shu jumladan faktlarni tekshirish tizimlari va algoritmik filtrlashlar tahlil qilinadi, ularning samaradorligi va ijtimoiy ta'siri baholanadi.

Kalit so'zlar:

feyk axborot, ijtimoiy tarmoq, Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, Google, faktlarni tekshirish, dezinformatsiya

Introduction

The development of the internet and social media has significantly transformed the global information environment. Today, billions of people worldwide use platforms such as Facebook,

Twitter, TikTok, and Google to access news, communicate, and share content. While these platforms offer unprecedented opportunities for rapid information dissemination, they also facilitate the rapid spread of fake news, misinformation, and disinformation.

Fake news refers to false, misleading, or deliberately manipulated information intended to deceive the public and influence social, political, or economic opinions. The consequences of fake news are far-reaching, affecting public opinion, political decisions, election outcomes, and even national security. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, false information about vaccines and health measures spread rapidly across social media, causing public confusion and distrust in official health recommendations. Similarly, politically motivated misinformation during election campaigns can influence voters' choices and undermine democratic processes.

Platforms like Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, and Google have recognized the threat posed by fake news and developed strategies to reduce its spread. These strategies include algorithmic filtering, fact-checking partnerships, content moderation, and user reporting mechanisms. Each platform implements these measures differently, reflecting its audience, content type, and technological capabilities.

The purpose of this paper is to analyze the measures taken by these platforms to combat fake news and evaluate their effectiveness and social impact. The study focuses on:

Technologies and mechanisms employed by platforms to detect and limit the spread of fake news.

The social and political impact of these measures on users and public discourse.

This analysis is essential for understanding how digital platforms can contribute to a safer, more informed online environment and how user media literacy complements platform strategies to mitigate the impact of fake news.

Facebook measures against fake news

Facebook is considered one of the most advanced social media platforms in combating fake news. Its measures are multifaceted and combine technological, social, and community-based strategies:

Fact-Checking Partnerships:

Facebook collaborates with independent organizations such as Snopes, AFP, PolitiFact, and Reuters Fact Check. Every post flagged as potentially false is reviewed by these organizations. If a post is confirmed as false, it is labeled as "False Information," and its visibility is significantly reduced in the news feed. This reduces the likelihood of the post going viral while still allowing users to see it with a warning.

Algorithmic Filtering:

Facebook uses machine learning algorithms to identify content likely to be misleading, sensational, or manipulative. These algorithms evaluate user engagement, content patterns, and sources. Posts that contain false or low-credibility information are demoted, meaning fewer users will see them. The system also identifies clusters of accounts that frequently share false content, applying restrictions to limit their reach.

User Reporting Mechanisms:

Facebook encourages users to report suspicious content. Reports are reviewed by moderators or automatically flagged for further analysis. This community-based approach empowers users to participate in controlling misinformation while providing additional data for algorithmic detection.

Promotion of Verified Information:

Facebook prioritizes posts from credible sources, such as reputable news agencies, health organizations, and government authorities. During the COVID-19 pandemic, for example, Facebook implemented banners linking users to the World Health Organization and other verified health sources, directly combating the spread of health-related misinformation.

Social Impact:

Facebook's strategies have been effective in reducing the virality of fake news, particularly during elections and public health crises. However, challenges remain. Critics argue that algorithms sometimes mistakenly flag accurate information, and politically biased labeling can raise questions about censorship. Nevertheless, Facebook remains a central actor in the global fight against fake news.

Twitter measures against fake news

Twitter focuses heavily on real-time information and has developed specific mechanisms to curb the spread of misinformation, especially during fast-moving events:

Labeling misleading content:

Tweets containing potentially false or unverified information are labeled with tags such as "Disputed" or "Unverified." During elections or breaking news events, Twitter adds context to such tweets by linking to authoritative sources or explanatory threads. This helps users critically evaluate the credibility of the information.

Bot detection and spam control:

Twitter employs automated systems and human moderation to detect and remove bots and spam accounts. Many fake news campaigns rely on automated networks to amplify content. Twitter's bot detection algorithm analyzes posting patterns, engagement anomalies, and network behavior to identify suspicious accounts.

Moderation of political content:

Election-related misinformation is actively monitored. Twitter temporarily blocks retweets or limits the visibility of politically motivated fake news. This is complemented by public awareness campaigns, emphasizing fact-checking and providing links to official election information.

Social Impact:

Twitter's measures have significantly reduced the spread of false political content in recent elections in the US and Europe. However, debates about free speech and censorship persist, as some users perceive the labeling or restriction of content as political bias.

TikTok measures against fake news

TikTok, as a video-first platform, faces unique challenges in combating fake news because misinformation often spreads through short, visually engaging videos:

Content Moderation:

TikTok applies a combination of AI-based detection and human moderators to review videos.

The system flags content with misleading claims or manipulated media (deepfakes). False information can either be removed or demoted to reduce visibility.

Fact-checking collaborations:

TikTok partners with organizations such as AFP and Reuters to verify potentially misleading videos. Verified information is promoted, and corrective content is provided to counter misinformation.

Promotion of verified content:

Videos from credible sources, including educational accounts, scientists, and public institutions, are given higher visibility. During COVID-19, TikTok promoted verified health videos to inform younger audiences about vaccines and safety measures.

Social Impact:

TikTok has successfully reduced fake news exposure among young audiences. However, due to the visual and entertainment-oriented nature of content, misinformation that is emotionally engaging can still go viral. Continuous improvements in moderation and AI detection are crucial to mitigating these risks.

Google measures against fake news

Google plays a central role in directing users toward verified information across its search engine and news platforms:

Algorithmic filtering in search and news:

Google ranks websites based on credibility, fact-checking indicators, and user engagement metrics. Pages known for spreading misinformation are given lower search rankings, reducing their visibility.

Fact-check snippets:

Google displays short “fact-check” summaries in search results to provide quick verification. These snippets highlight whether a claim has been confirmed, partially confirmed, or debunked, helping users assess the accuracy of information without clicking multiple sources.

Ad policies and content restrictions:

Google Ads blocks misleading ads and restricts monetization for websites spreading fake news. Platforms like Google News also prioritize credible sources in trending news sections.

Social Impact:

Google's interventions significantly increase the visibility of verified information while limiting the reach of misleading content. However, algorithmic limitations may occasionally suppress legitimate content that is falsely flagged as unverified or low-quality.

Comparative analysis and effectiveness

• All four platforms rely on fact-checking partnerships, algorithmic content filtering, and moderation mechanisms to combat fake news.

• Facebook and Twitter focus more on social and political content, particularly during elections or crises.

• TikTok targets youth audiences and short video formats, balancing engagement with accuracy.

• Google focuses on global search and news visibility, providing fact-checking tools and ranking systems to guide users toward credible information.

• The effectiveness of these measures is strongly influenced by user media literacy, critical thinking skills, and public awareness. Platforms alone cannot fully prevent the spread of misinformation; educated and vigilant users are critical to reducing fake news virality.

Challenges and future directions

Despite the progress, challenges remain:

• Deepfakes and AI-generated content are increasingly sophisticated and difficult to detect.

• Algorithms may mistakenly flag legitimate content, affecting platform credibility.

• Coordinated misinformation campaigns, often state-sponsored or politically motivated, continue to evolve faster than detection methods.

• Platforms must invest in AI, human moderation, and international cooperation to improve detection and response to misinformation.

Conclusion

Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, and Google play a critical role in combating the spread of fake news across digital platforms. Each platform has implemented comprehensive measures, including fact-checking systems, algorithmic content filtering, user reporting mechanisms, and human moderation. These strategies are tailored to the nature of each platform: Facebook and Twitter emphasize political and social content moderation, TikTok targets younger audiences and short-form video content, while Google focuses on search and news algorithms to promote credible information globally.

The effectiveness of these measures depends not only on technological solutions but also on user media literacy and critical thinking skills. Highly informed and digitally literate users are less likely to fall victim to fake news, complementing the platforms' efforts and fostering a healthier public discourse. During public crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, these

combined measures have proven vital in limiting misinformation and guiding users toward verified information.

Despite these advances, challenges remain. The rapid evolution of AI-generated content, deepfakes, and coordinated disinformation campaigns continues to test platform capabilities. Algorithms, while sophisticated, may still inadvertently suppress legitimate content or fail to catch emerging false narratives. This highlights the need for continuous adaptation, including the development of advanced AI detection, enhanced human moderation, and stronger collaborations with independent fact-checking organizations.

Furthermore, combating fake news requires international cooperation and regulatory frameworks that balance the freedom of expression with the need to prevent harm. Digital literacy programs, public awareness campaigns, and educational initiatives must complement platform-based interventions to ensure that users can critically evaluate content and make informed decisions.

In conclusion, while platforms like Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, and Google are essential actors in the global fight against fake news, the long-term mitigation of misinformation depends on a combination of technology, regulation, user education, and societal awareness. Continued innovation, cross-platform collaboration, and investment in both human and artificial intelligence capabilities are crucial to creating a safer, more trustworthy online information environment.

References:

1.Вардл, К., & Деракшан, Х. (2017). Информационное искажение: междисциплинарная рамка для исследований и политики. Совет Европы.

2. Аллотт, Х., & Гентцков, М. (2017). Социальные сети и фейковые новости на выборах 2016 года. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 31(2)

3. Axmedov, S. (2020). Ijtimoiy tarmoqlarda axborot xavfsizligi va feyk axborot. Toshkent: Fan.

4. Qo‘qonov, A. (2021). Ijtimoiy tarmoqlar va feyk axborot: tahlil va kuzatuv. Toshkent: Ilm-fan nashriyoti.