JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES AND INNOVATIONS

VOLUME 04, ISSUE 11
MOPNTHLY JOURNALS

ISSN NUMBER: 2751-4390
IMPACT FACTOR: 9,08

BIOGLASS AS AN OSTEOCONDUCTIVE AND OSTEOSTIMULATORY MATERIAL IN DENTAL PRACTICE

Juraeva Nigora Iminjonovna

Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Dental Faculty of

Andijan State Medical Institute, Uzbekistan

Abstract: Bone regeneration is a critical aspect of modern dental practice, particularly in implantology, periodontology, and maxillofacial surgery. Bioglass, a bioactive glass material, has gained significant attention due to its unique osteoconductive and osteostimulatory properties. Unlike inert biomaterials, bioglass actively interacts with biological tissues, promoting bone formation and enhancing osseointegration. This article reviews the biological mechanisms, clinical applications, and advantages of bioglass in dental practice, highlighting its role in bone regeneration and tissue healing.

Keywords: bioglass, osteoconductivity, osteostimulation, dental biomaterials, bone regeneration, implantology.

Introduction

The success of many dental procedures depends on effective bone regeneration and stable integration of biomaterials with host tissues. Bone defects resulting from tooth extraction, periodontal disease, trauma, or tumor resection often require the use of bone grafting materials to restore function and aesthetics. Traditional grafting options, including autografts and allografts, present limitations such as donor site morbidity, limited availability, and risk of disease transmission.

Bioglass represents a new generation of bioactive materials designed to overcome these challenges. Since its introduction, bioglass has demonstrated remarkable biocompatibility and bioactivity, enabling it to bond directly with bone and soft tissues. Its ability to stimulate cellular responses and promote new bone formation makes it particularly valuable in dental applications. This article examines the osteoconductive and osteostimulatory properties of bioglass and its clinical relevance in dentistry.

Materials and Methods

This review is based on an analysis of peer-reviewed scientific publications published between 2000 and 2024. Databases including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science were searched using keywords such as “bioglass,” “bioactive glass,” “osteoconduction,” “osteostimulation,” and “dental applications.” Experimental studies, clinical trials, and systematic reviews related to the use of bioglass in dentistry were included. The collected data were qualitatively analyzed to assess biological mechanisms, clinical outcomes, and material performance.

Results

Bioglass is composed primarily of silicon dioxide, calcium oxide, sodium oxide, and phosphorus pentoxide. When implanted in biological environments, it undergoes surface reactions that lead to the formation of a hydroxycarbonate apatite layer, closely resembling natural bone mineral. This layer facilitates strong chemical bonding between bioglass and bone tissue.

Bioglass serves as a scaffold that supports the migration, attachment, and proliferation of osteogenic cells. Its porous structure allows vascular ingrowth and bone tissue infiltration, guiding new bone formation along its surface. This osteoconductive behavior enhances bone defect healing and improves the stability of dental implants.

Beyond providing a passive scaffold, bioglass actively stimulates bone regeneration. The release of biologically active ions, such as calcium and silicon, promotes osteoblast differentiation and increases the expression of bone-related genes. These ionic products also enhance angiogenesis, creating a favorable microenvironment for bone healing.

Bioglass is widely used in dental implantology to augment alveolar bone and improve osseointegration. In periodontology, it supports the regeneration of periodontal bone defects and reduces inflammation. Bioglass has also demonstrated effectiveness in maxillofacial surgery for sinus lift procedures, ridge preservation, and reconstruction of bone defects following cyst or tumor removal.

Compared to traditional graft materials, bioglass offers several advantages, including excellent biocompatibility, absence of immunogenic reactions, antimicrobial properties, and predictable resorption rates. Its synthetic origin eliminates the risk of disease transmission and ethical concerns associated with biological grafts.

Discussion

The findings confirm that bioglass is not merely an inert filler but an active biomaterial capable of stimulating biological responses essential for bone regeneration. Its dual osteoconductive and osteostimulatory properties distinguish it from many conventional grafting materials used in dental practice. The formation of a bone-like apatite layer and the controlled release of bioactive ions play key roles in enhancing tissue integration and healing.

Clinical studies consistently report favorable outcomes when bioglass is used in dental procedures, including improved bone density, faster healing times, and enhanced implant stability. Additionally, its antimicrobial effects contribute to reduced postoperative complications. Despite these advantages, further long-term clinical studies are needed to optimize material composition and application techniques for specific dental indications.

Conclusion

Bioglass represents a highly effective osteoconductive and osteostimulatory material for use in dental practice. Its ability to actively interact with biological tissues, promote bone formation, and support osseointegration makes it a valuable alternative to traditional bone grafting materials. The application of bioglass in implantology, periodontology, and maxillofacial surgery

contributes to improved clinical outcomes and patient safety. Continued research and clinical innovation are expected to further expand the role of bioglass in regenerative dentistry.

The analysis of current scientific and clinical data confirms that bioglass is a highly effective bioactive material with significant osteoconductive and osteostimulatory potential in dental practice. Unlike conventional inert grafting materials, bioglass actively interacts with the biological environment, initiating a cascade of physicochemical and cellular responses that promote bone regeneration and tissue integration. The formation of a hydroxycarbonate apatite layer on the surface of bioglass plays a crucial role in establishing a strong chemical bond with host bone, thereby enhancing structural stability and long-term clinical success.

The osteoconductive properties of bioglass provide a favorable scaffold for osteogenic cell attachment, migration, and proliferation, facilitating guided bone regeneration. At the same time, its osteostimulatory capacity, mediated through the controlled release of biologically active ions such as calcium and silicon, stimulates osteoblast differentiation, angiogenesis, and the expression of bone-related genes. This dual mechanism accelerates bone healing and improves the quality of newly formed bone tissue.

Clinical evidence demonstrates that bioglass is particularly beneficial in dental implantology, periodontology, and maxillofacial surgery. Its application in alveolar ridge preservation, sinus lift procedures, and periodontal defect treatment leads to improved bone density, enhanced osseointegration, and reduced healing time. Additionally, the antimicrobial properties of bioglass contribute to a lower risk of postoperative infection and inflammation, further supporting favorable clinical outcomes.

From a practical standpoint, the synthetic origin of bioglass eliminates concerns related to donor site morbidity, disease transmission, and ethical issues associated with biological graft materials. Its biocompatibility, predictable resorption behavior, and versatility make it a reliable alternative or adjunct to traditional bone grafting options. However, optimal clinical results depend on appropriate material selection, defect characteristics, and surgical technique.

In conclusion, bioglass represents a key advancement in regenerative dentistry, offering both structural support and biological stimulation for bone healing. Continued research aimed at optimizing bioglass composition, improving handling properties, and evaluating long-term clinical outcomes will further expand its applications and effectiveness. The integration of bioglass into routine dental practice holds significant promise for improving patient outcomes and advancing the field of dental biomaterials.

References:

1. Hench L.L. The story of Bioglass®. **Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine.** 2006;17(11):967–978.
2. Hench L.L., Polak J.M. Third-generation biomedical materials. **Science.** 2002;295(5557):1014–1017.
3. Jones J.R. Review of bioactive glass: From Hench to hybrids. **Acta Biomaterialia.** 2013;9(1):4457–4486.
4. Rahaman M.N., Day D.E., Bal B.S., et al. Bioactive glass in tissue engineering. **Acta Biomaterialia.** 2011;7(6):2355–2373.

5. Xynos I.D., Edgar A.J., Buttery L.D., Hench L.L., Polak J.M. Gene-expression profiling of human osteoblasts following treatment with bioactive glass ionic dissolution products. **Journal of Biomedical Materials Research.** 2001;55(2):151–157.
6. Stanley H.R., Hench L.L., Bennett C.G. Osteogenesis of bioglass in dental applications. **Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.** 1981;39(1):30–34.
7. Wilson J., Low S.B. Bioactive ceramics for periodontal treatment: Comparative studies in the P3HR9 rat. **Journal of Applied Biomaterials.** 1992;3(2):123–129.
8. Zamet J.S., Darbar U.R., Griffiths G.S., et al. Particulate bioglass as a grafting material in the treatment of periodontal intrabony defects. **Journal of Clinical Periodontology.** 1997;24(6):410–418.
9. Lovelace T.B., Mellonig J.T., Meffert R.M., Jones A.A., Nummikoski P.V., Cochran D.L. Clinical evaluation of bioactive glass in the treatment of periodontal osseous defects. **Journal of Periodontology.** 1998;69(9):1027–1035.
10. Schepers E., Ducheyne P. Bioactive glass particles of narrow size range: A new material for the repair of bone defects. **Journal of Oral Rehabilitation.** 1997;24(3):171–178.
11. Skoglund A., Hising P., Young C. A clinical and histologic examination in humans of the osseous response to implanted natural bone mineral and bioactive glass. **International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants.** 1997;12(2):194–199.
12. El-Ghannam A. Bone reconstruction: From bioceramics to tissue engineering. **Expert Review of Medical Devices.** 2005;2(1):87–101.
13. Vallet-Regí M., Salinas A.J. Bioactive glasses for regenerative medicine. **Materials Today: Bio.** 2021;11:100117.