JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES AND INNOVATIONS
VOLUME 04, ISSUE 10
MOPNTHLY JOURNALS
ISSN NUMBER: 2751-4390
IMPACT FACTOR: 9,08

THE IMPACT OF ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTY ON MACROECONOMIC STABILITY: A STATISTICAL AND ANALYTICAL APPROACH

Sharipova Bibijon Baxtiyorovna
Assistant professor, Department of General Technical Sciences, Asia International University

Annotation. This research explores the multi-dimensional impact of economic uncertainty on macroeconomic stability, focusing on how unpredictable changes in financial markets, global conditions, and domestic policies influence growth, inflation, investment behaviour, and overall economic performance. The study examines statistical approaches used to measure uncertainty and analyzes the channels through which uncertainty affects key macroeconomic indicators. By integrating theoretical insights with empirical observations, the research highlights the importance of robust policy frameworks that can minimize the negative effects of uncertainty and support long-term economic resilience.

Keywords. Economic uncertainty, macroeconomic stability, statistical analysis, risk assessment, economic resilience

CHAPTER 1. Understanding Economic Uncertainty

Economic uncertainty has become one of the defining characteristics of the modern global economy, influencing nearly every aspect of economic decision-making. Although uncertainty has always existed, the scale, intensity, and frequency of unpredictable events have significantly increased in recent decades. These developments include financial crises, geopolitical conflicts, rapid technological disruptions, pandemics, climate-related shocks, and unexpected fluctuations in commodity markets. As a result, governments, firms, and households face a more complex environment in which economic outcomes are harder to anticipate, and planning for the future requires a deeper understanding of uncertain dynamics.

At its core, economic uncertainty refers to situations in which individuals and institutions cannot reliably predict future economic conditions or the consequences of their decisions. This lack of clarity may arise from incomplete information, volatile markets, inconsistent policy signals, or global shocks that spread across borders. Uncertainty differs from measurable risk because it often lacks historical patterns or stable probabilities that allow accurate forecasting. In many cases, uncertainty intensifies during periods of structural change, when economies face a shift in industrial composition, technological transformation, or major political transitions.

One of the main reasons economic uncertainty attracts so much attention is its far-reaching influence on economic behaviour. Firms tend to postpone investment and hiring decisions when the future is unclear, preferring to wait until more information becomes available. Households may become more cautious in their consumption choices, increasing savings and reducing spending on non-essential goods. Financial markets typically respond with heightened volatility, as investors adjust their portfolios to reflect new expectations. Together, these reactions can slow down economic activity and amplify existing weaknesses.

Uncertainty also affects government policies, particularly fiscal and monetary strategies. Policymakers must balance the need for stable, predictable programs with the ability to respond rapidly to sudden shocks. When uncertainty is high, political debates often intensify, reducing the effectiveness of communication and lowering public confidence. In some cases, uncertainty can even create a feedback loop: unclear policies increase market unpredictability, which then forces governments to adopt emergency measures that further destabilize expectations.

Globalization has magnified the transmission of uncertainty. In an interconnected world, shocks originating in one region quickly spread to others. A recession in a major economy can reduce

international trade, decrease investment flows, and weaken financial stability across multiple countries. Similarly, unexpected changes in energy prices or supply chain disruptions can have immediate and widespread consequences. This interconnectedness means that economic uncertainty is no longer confined to national borders; it is a shared global phenomenon requiring international cooperation and coordinated responses.

Understanding economic uncertainty also involves recognizing its heterogeneous nature. Some forms of uncertainty arise gradually, such as technological shifts that slowly transform labour markets. Others emerge suddenly, like natural disasters or abrupt political events. Some types are temporary, while others persist for years. Policymakers must therefore distinguish between short-term disruptions and long-term structural changes, as their responses will differ significantly. Firms, likewise, must determine whether uncertainty represents a passing shock or a deeper trend that requires strategic adaptation.

Another important dimension is the psychological aspect of uncertainty. Economic agents do not always react rationally when faced with unpredictable conditions. Fear, pessimism, overconfidence, and behavioural biases frequently influence decisions in ways that standard economic models cannot fully explain. During periods of heightened uncertainty, public sentiment can shift quickly, creating waves of optimism or anxiety that shape market movements. This behavioural component introduces additional complexity, making it even more difficult to forecast economic trajectories.

Despite its negative connotations, uncertainty is not always harmful. In dynamic and innovative economies, a certain level of unpredictability can stimulate creativity, promote experimentation, and encourage firms to explore new opportunities. Startups, technological pioneers, and research-driven industries often thrive in environments where traditional rules are being redefined. However, for most sectors, excessive uncertainty imposes substantial costs, reducing investment efficiency and undermining long-term development.

Finally, understanding economic uncertainty requires acknowledging its growing relevance in policy discussions and academic research. Economists, statisticians, and policymakers increasingly view uncertainty as a key variable that shapes macroeconomic stability. As a result, new methods, indicators, and analytical frameworks continue to be developed to better capture the evolving nature of uncertainty. Although these tools cannot eliminate unpredictability, they provide valuable insights that help societies build more resilient economic systems.

CHAPTER 2. Statistical Approaches to Measuring Economic Uncertainty

Measuring economic uncertainty is one of the most challenging tasks in modern economics, primarily because uncertainty itself is an abstract concept with no single, universally accepted definition. Unlike traditional economic indicators such as inflation, unemployment, or GDP growth, uncertainty cannot be directly observed. Instead, it must be inferred from patterns, behaviours, and outcomes recorded in economic and social systems. For this reason, statisticians and economists have developed a range of tools and methods to approximate the level of uncertainty present at any given time.

One of the most widely used approaches relies on analyzing the volatility of economic and financial variables. When markets experience sharp fluctuations, this often indicates that investors and institutions lack confidence in their expectations about the future. Although volatility does not capture all dimensions of uncertainty, it provides valuable information about how unexpected or unstable economic conditions have become. Researchers typically study changes in asset prices, exchange rates, commodity markets, or interest rate movements to detect sudden increases in economic unpredictability.

Another statistical method focuses on examining the frequency and tone of economic discussions in public communication channels. This includes speeches by policymakers, newspaper articles,

expert commentary, and reports published by financial institutions. By analyzing the language used in these sources, researchers can identify periods when uncertainty becomes a central topic of concern. Modern text-based analysis tools allow for large-scale measurement of linguistic patterns, providing a unique window into collective sentiment. When uncertainty-related words and expressions appear more frequently, it suggests that society as a whole perceives the economic environment as less predictable.

Survey-based indicators represent a third major approach. These surveys gather opinions from households, firms, and professional forecasters about their expectations regarding future economic performance. When responses show wide disagreement or high levels of pessimism, it signals elevated uncertainty. While survey data can be subjective, it offers valuable insight into how economic agents interpret the environment around them. Businesses, for example, may be asked about their expected sales, investment plans, or production levels, while consumers may provide information about their confidence in income or employment prospects. Because these surveys capture real-time perceptions, they serve as an important complement to more technical statistical models.

A further method involves studying the dispersion of forecasts produced by economists, analysts, and institutions. When experts provide highly divergent predictions for key indicators—such as inflation, interest rates, or GDP growth—this indicates that underlying conditions are unclear and difficult to interpret. Forecast disagreement becomes particularly informative during major policy transitions, technological shifts, or global events that introduce new sources of instability. This form of uncertainty measurement is especially valuable because it reflects the difficulty even specialists face in predicting the future.

Statistical models also play a crucial role in understanding uncertainty. Although these models differ in structure, they generally aim to quantify how unexpected shocks influence economic conditions over time. By observing deviations from typical patterns or identifying unusual behaviour in economic indicators, analysts can detect when the economy enters a more uncertain phase. These models help distinguish between temporary disturbances and more persistent forms of instability. While no model can perfectly capture reality, they provide a disciplined framework for interpreting complex data.

Another important approach is studying the behaviour of investment and production decisions. When firms delay projects or reduce long-term commitments, this behaviour can be interpreted as a response to uncertainty. By examining historical data on investment activity, inventories, capacity utilization, and credit demand, researchers can infer the underlying level of hesitation within the business sector. These indicators provide a practical measure of uncertainty because they reflect concrete actions taken by firms when the future becomes difficult to forecast.

Finally, composite uncertainty indices have become increasingly popular. These indices combine information from multiple sources—market volatility, survey responses, news coverage, and forecast dispersion—to produce a single measure that captures various dimensions of uncertainty. Such indices allow researchers to track long-term trends, compare uncertainty levels across countries, and assess the impact of major events on economic stability. Because they integrate diverse data, composite indices provide a more comprehensive view than any individual indicator could offer.

Across all these methods, a common challenge persists: uncertainty is inherently multidimensional. Different sources of data capture different aspects of unpredictability, and no single method can fully describe the phenomenon. As a result, economists emphasize the importance of using multiple indicators simultaneously. A combination of statistical, behavioural, and perceptual measures provides the most accurate representation of uncertainty, allowing

policymakers and researchers to identify early warning signals and design more effective responses.

CHAPTER 3. Economic Mechanisms Linking Uncertainty and Macroeconomic Stability

Understanding how economic uncertainty influences macroeconomic stability requires examining the channels through which unpredictability affects decisions made by firms, households, investors, and policymakers. While uncertainty itself is not directly observable, its consequences can be traced through behavioural responses and macroeconomic dynamics. These mechanisms interact in complex ways, often amplifying initial shocks and producing broader fluctuations in economic performance.

One of the most immediate effects of uncertainty is the postponement of investment decisions. Firms, when unsure about future demand, costs, or regulatory conditions, prefer to wait rather than commit large amounts of capital to long-term projects. Investment typically involves irreversible expenses, meaning that once resources are committed, they cannot be easily recovered. In an uncertain environment, the option to delay becomes valuable. As investment slows, so does the expansion of productive capacity, leading to reduced economic activity and slower growth. Over time, persistent uncertainty limits technological development, weakens competitiveness, and constrains innovation.

Household behaviour also plays a significant role in transmitting uncertainty into the broader economy. When individuals face unclear job prospects, volatile prices, or unstable financial conditions, they often adopt more cautious spending habits. Consumption patterns shift from discretionary goods toward necessities, while savings increase as a precautionary response. This reduction in consumption—especially in sectors dependent on consumer confidence—creates downward pressure on economic output. Because consumption accounts for a large share of most economies, even small changes in household sentiment can produce noticeable macroeconomic effects.

Financial markets respond rapidly to uncertainty, often serving as early indicators of economic instability. Investors adjust their portfolios by reallocating assets, reducing exposure to risk, or seeking safer investments. These shifts can generate volatility in stock prices, exchange rates, and commodity markets. When financial instability intensifies, borrowing becomes more expensive, credit conditions tighten, and liquidity constraints emerge. This makes it harder for firms to finance operations and for households to access loans, further slowing economic activity. Financial markets thus become both a reflection of uncertainty and a channel through which uncertainty spreads to the real economy.

Uncertainty also affects international trade and investment. Global supply chains depend on stable expectations about transportation costs, regulatory environments, and geopolitical relations. When uncertainty disrupts these expectations, firms may reduce cross-border operations, reconfigure supply networks, or limit international expansion. In some cases, countries respond by adopting protective measures, which further increase global unpredictability. Reduced trade flows weaken export revenues, limit access to foreign markets, and create imbalances that strain macroeconomic stability.

Another mechanism linking uncertainty to macroeconomic outcomes involves labour markets. Employers reluctant to hire new workers or increase wages during uncertain periods often freeze recruitment or rely more heavily on temporary contracts. This creates instability for workers, reducing job security and limiting career progression. When labour market uncertainty becomes widespread, it depresses overall consumer confidence and lowers productivity. Long-term uncertainty can erode human capital, as workers may delay education or skill development, anticipating limited opportunities.

Government policy responses form an additional channel through which uncertainty affects macroeconomic stability. Fiscal policy becomes more difficult to implement when future economic conditions are ambiguous. Governments may hesitate to launch large spending programs, fearing that revenues may not be sufficient to support them. Tax reforms or structural changes may be postponed due to political disagreements that intensify during uncertain times. Monetary policy also becomes more challenging, as central banks must balance inflation control with supporting economic activity. When policy directions become unpredictable, uncertainty can increase further, creating a cycle of instability.

Institutional quality plays a significant role in moderating or amplifying the effects of uncertainty. Countries with transparent governance, strong legal frameworks, and reliable regulatory systems tend to experience smaller disruptions during uncertain periods. Confidence in institutions allows firms and households to plan more effectively, even when external conditions are unstable. In contrast, weak institutions magnify the effects of uncertainty by creating ambiguity around rights, obligations, and enforcement. This not only reduces investment but also increases the likelihood of capital flight and informal economic activity.

Behavioural responses also contribute to the transmission of uncertainty. Human decision-making is influenced by emotions, cognitive biases, and social dynamics. During uncertain times, pessimism can spread rapidly across society, influencing economic expectations even in the absence of concrete evidence. Herd behaviour in financial markets, for example, can amplify price swings as investors mimic each other's actions. Similarly, widespread fear of future economic hardship can reduce spending and investment beyond what fundamentals would justify. These behavioural mechanisms often turn uncertainty into a self-reinforcing process.

Finally, uncertainty influences long-term structural outcomes. Prolonged periods of unpredictability can alter the allocation of resources across sectors, shift labour market participation rates, limit entrepreneurship, and weaken economic diversification. Countries experiencing persistent uncertainty often struggle to attract foreign investment and maintain stable development trajectories. Over time, this creates vulnerabilities that make economies more susceptible to shocks and less capable of sustaining steady growth.

In summary, economic uncertainty interacts with macroeconomic stability through multiple interconnected channels. These include investment decisions, consumer behaviour, financial markets, international trade, labour markets, government policies, institutional frameworks, and behavioural dynamics. Understanding these mechanisms is crucial for designing effective strategies that minimize disruptions and enhance resilience in the face of an increasingly unpredictable global environment.

CHAPTER 4. Empirical Observations and Policy Implications

Empirical observations from economies around the world provide compelling evidence that uncertainty plays a decisive role in shaping macroeconomic performance. Although the intensity and consequences of uncertainty vary across countries, several consistent patterns emerge from long-term data, historical events, and comparative studies. These patterns reveal how different economies react to uncertainty, how shocks propagate across markets, and which policy frameworks are most effective in mitigating adverse effects.

One of the clearest empirical findings is that uncertainty tends to rise sharply during major economic and political events. Financial crises, for example, produce immediate and widespread uncertainty as credit markets freeze, asset prices collapse, and expectations shift abruptly. Historical episodes such as the global financial crisis, the European debt crisis, or more recent global disruptions demonstrate how quickly uncertainty can escalate and how deeply it can influence economic conditions. During these periods, investment activity often drops

significantly, and consumers shift toward precautionary savings, intensifying economic contraction.

Empirical studies also show strong links between uncertainty and labour market outcomes. Periods of high uncertainty are frequently associated with slower job creation, reduced working hours, and increased reliance on flexible or temporary employment contracts. Firms become reluctant to expand their workforce when future demand is unclear, and individuals face greater difficulty securing stable employment. In some economies, this produces long-lasting effects, as prolonged uncertainty can lower labour force participation and reduce opportunities for skill development, ultimately weakening productivity growth.

Another important pattern observed in empirical data is the relationship between uncertainty and financial market volatility. Investors typically respond to unexpected events by adjusting their portfolios rapidly, causing sharp movements in asset prices. These reactions can create instability in bond markets, currency markets, and equity markets simultaneously. Sudden shifts in investor sentiment may spread across countries through global financial linkages, making it harder for national economies to isolate themselves from external disturbances. Economies with more developed financial systems often experience faster transmission of uncertainty but also tend to recover more quickly due to stronger institutional support.

International trade patterns provide further evidence of uncertainty's influence. When global conditions become less predictable, trade volumes usually decline. Firms delay orders, reconsider supply chain strategies, and reduce cross-border commitments. Export-oriented economies are particularly vulnerable because their growth depends heavily on stable international demand. Episodes of geopolitical tension or disruptions in transportation networks further magnify uncertainty, causing trade flows to slow even in the absence of direct economic shocks. These effects highlight the interconnected nature of modern economies and the inability of any single country to fully shield itself from external uncertainty.

The empirical relationship between policy communication and economic outcomes has also received growing attention. Studies consistently show that clear, consistent, and transparent communication from governments and central banks reduces uncertainty. When policy messages are ambiguous or inconsistent, economic agents struggle to form accurate expectations about future conditions. This increases volatility in markets and weakens the effectiveness of policy interventions. On the other hand, well-communicated strategies help stabilize expectations and provide a sense of direction even during periods of instability. Central banks that maintain predictable approaches to monetary policy often experience lower levels of market uncertainty than those with less transparent frameworks.

Empirical evidence also underscores the significance of institutional quality. Countries with strong legal systems, stable regulatory environments, and effective governance structures tend to be more resilient to uncertainty. Their economies recover faster from shocks because firms and households trust institutions to provide reliable guidance and enforce rules consistently. In contrast, economies with weak institutions often face prolonged periods of instability, as uncertainty becomes entrenched in the economic system. These countries experience more volatile investment cycles, greater capital flight, and slower long-term growth.

Policy implications derived from these empirical observations are substantial. One of the most important recommendations is the need for governments to establish clear and credible policy frameworks. Consistent fiscal and monetary strategies help reduce uncertainty by providing economic agents with reliable expectations. Policy unpredictability, such as sudden regulatory changes or unclear tax reforms, tends to amplify uncertainty rather than mitigate it. Therefore, stability in governance and communication is essential for maintaining macroeconomic balance.

Another policy lesson is the value of building economic buffers. Countries with strong financial reserves, diversified economic structures, and flexible labour markets are better prepared to withstand periods of heightened uncertainty. Such buffers reduce the need for drastic policy responses during crises and help maintain public confidence. Diversification is especially important for economies dependent on a limited number of sectors or export products, as specialization increases vulnerability to external shocks.

Improving data quality and expanding statistical capabilities also emerge as key policy priorities. Accurate and timely data allow policymakers to detect rising uncertainty and respond more effectively. Enhancing data collection systems, developing early-warning indicators, and improving analytical capacity all contribute to better management of uncertainty. Countries that invest in strong statistical infrastructures gain a competitive advantage in economic governance.

Finally, international cooperation is crucial in a globalized environment. Since shocks easily cross borders, coordinated international responses can reduce global uncertainty and support stability. Collaborative mechanisms, information-sharing agreements, and multilateral institutions help create a more predictable global environment. Policies that promote openness, transparency, and coordinated strategies can significantly reduce the negative effects of uncertainty on global economic performance.

In summary, empirical evidence provides strong support for the idea that uncertainty is a powerful force influencing macroeconomic outcomes. The lessons derived from historical experiences, cross-country comparisons, and long-term data highlight the need for strong institutions, coherent policy frameworks, effective communication, and global cooperation. By adopting strategies that address these areas, countries can strengthen their resilience and maintain stability in an increasingly unpredictable economic environment.

CHAPTER 5. Conclusions and Recommendations

The growing prominence of economic uncertainty in the global economy underscores the need for deeper understanding and strategic responses. Throughout this research, it has become evident that uncertainty is not an isolated or temporary phenomenon; rather, it is an integral feature of modern economic systems shaped by globalization, geopolitical dynamics, rapid technological change, and evolving policy frameworks. Although uncertainty cannot be eliminated entirely, its effects can be managed, mitigated, and transformed through informed decision-making and effective institutional structures.

A central conclusion of this study is that economic uncertainty has significant implications for macroeconomic stability. Its influence extends across investment decisions, consumption patterns, financial market behaviour, labour market dynamics, international trade, and policymaking processes. When uncertainty rises, firms tend to postpone investment, households reduce consumption, and investors shift to safer assets, collectively slowing economic activity. These behavioural adjustments create ripple effects that can deepen instability, especially when institutions are weak or policies are inconsistent.

Another major conclusion is that uncertainty operates through multiple channels simultaneously. It influences expectations, alters incentives, and reshapes decision-making in ways that often reinforce one another. For example, volatility in financial markets can increase caution among firms, which in turn limits production and employment. This leads to weaker economic indicators that further reduce confidence in the broader economy. Understanding these interconnected mechanisms is essential for developing policies that break negative feedback loops and restore stability.

The analysis of empirical observations confirms that uncertainty peaks during major economic and political disruptions. Global financial crises, pandemics, geopolitical conflicts, and shifts in global supply chains all produce sudden surges in uncertainty that affect economies of all sizes.

However, countries with strong institutions, credible policies, and diversified economies consistently manage uncertainty more effectively. They recover more quickly from shocks and maintain stronger economic resilience in the long run.

The findings also highlight the critical importance of government communication and policy credibility. Transparent, consistent, and predictable policy directions help stabilize expectations and reduce uncertainty. Ambiguous communication, on the other hand, intensifies unpredictability and weakens public confidence. Central banks, in particular, play a crucial role in shaping expectations through monetary policy signals, forward guidance, and regular communication with financial markets. Governments must recognize that communication itself can be a powerful policy tool.

Institutional quality emerges as another essential factor. Economies with well-established legal frameworks, reliable regulatory systems, and strong governance structures experience smaller disruptions during uncertain periods. These institutions provide a stable foundation that enables firms and households to plan more effectively, even when external conditions fluctuate. Conversely, weak institutions magnify uncertainty and can trap economies in cycles of instability, reducing investment, limiting innovation, and increasing vulnerability to shocks.

Based on the research findings, several key recommendations can be proposed to help economies better manage uncertainty and strengthen macroeconomic stability.

First, policymakers should prioritize building clear, credible, and long-term policy frameworks. Stable fiscal and monetary strategies reduce the likelihood of sudden policy changes that could disrupt expectations. Governments should aim for consistency and transparency in their economic programs, minimizing political uncertainty and strengthening public trust. Predictable policies do not guarantee stability, but they significantly improve the capacity of economic agents to plan for the future.

Second, countries should invest in institutional development. Strengthening legal systems, improving regulatory quality, reducing corruption, and enhancing public administration all contribute to greater economic stability. These measures not only reduce the direct effects of uncertainty but also act as safeguards that prevent future crises from escalating into systemic problems. Institutional improvements are long-term investments that continuously increase a country's resilience.

Third, diversification should be encouraged across sectors, markets, and production systems. Economies heavily dependent on a narrow range of industries or export markets are more exposed to global uncertainty. Diversified economies, on the other hand, are better able to absorb shocks and adapt to structural changes. Governments can support diversification through targeted incentives, investment in technology, and the development of high-value-added industries.

Fourth, improving the availability and quality of data is essential for managing uncertainty effectively. Advanced statistical systems allow policymakers to detect early signs of instability and respond quickly. Governments should invest in modern data collection methods, expand statistical capacity, and strengthen coordination between public institutions. Better data leads to better decisions, which in turn reduces uncertainty and improves economic outcomes.

Fifth, enhancing financial sector resilience is critical. Well-regulated financial systems are better able to withstand market volatility and protect the economy from sudden shocks. Strengthening banking supervision, expanding risk-management frameworks, and encouraging financial transparency reduce systemic risk. Stable financial systems provide firms and households with reliable access to credit, ensuring that uncertainty does not translate into severe liquidity constraints.

Sixth, policy communication must be treated as a strategic priority. Clear, timely, and coherent communication reduces speculation, stabilizes expectations, and improves the effectiveness of economic policies. Governments and central banks should adopt communication strategies that provide forward-looking guidance while avoiding unnecessary ambiguity. In times of crisis, communication becomes even more important, as public confidence is often determined by the clarity and reliability of official messages.

Seventh, international cooperation should be expanded. In a highly interconnected world, uncertainty often originates from cross-border developments. No country can fully isolate itself from global shocks, but collective actions can reduce shared vulnerabilities. Participation in international organizations, regional alliances, and coordinated policy initiatives helps countries respond more effectively to uncertainty. Cooperative efforts in trade, finance, climate policy, and technological development can create a more predictable global environment.

Finally, societies must recognize that uncertainty is a permanent feature of modern economic life. Instead of attempting to eliminate it entirely, the goal should be to develop adaptive capacities that allow economies to respond flexibly and constructively. This requires long-term planning, investment in education and skills, and the promotion of innovation. Adaptable economies are more capable of turning uncertainty into opportunities, fostering sustainable growth even in unpredictable conditions.

In conclusion, economic uncertainty will continue to shape global and national economic landscapes. Its impact on macroeconomic stability is profound, complex, and multidimensional. However, with strong institutions, credible policies, diversified economic structures, effective communication, and cooperative international engagement, countries can significantly improve their resilience. The future will always involve unpredictability, but well-designed strategies can transform uncertainty from a source of instability into a manageable and even productive element of economic development.

REFERENCES

1. Aizenman, J., & Marion, N. (1993). *Policy uncertainty, persistence and growth*. Review of International Economics, 1(2), 145–163.
2. Baker, S., Bloom, N., & Davis, S. (2016). *Measuring economic policy uncertainty*. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 131(4), 1593–1636.
3. Bernanke, B. S. (1983). *Irreversibility, uncertainty, and cyclical investment*. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 98(1), 85–106.
4. Bloom, N. (2009). *The impact of uncertainty shocks*. Econometrica, 77(3), 623–685.
5. Bloom, N. (2014). *Fluctuations in uncertainty*. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 28(2), 153–176.
6.Caporale, G. M., & Cerrato, M. (2016). *Commodity price uncertainty and economic growth*. Review of Development Economics, 20(1), 197–210.