



## **THE IMPACT OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL ORGANIZATIONS ON UZBEKISTAN'S INVESTMENT POLICY**

***Mamatkulova Nadira Makkamovna***

*associate professor of Tashkent university of architecture and civil engineering*

**Annotation:** This article examines the role of international financial organizations (IFOs) such as the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD), the International Finance Corporation (IFC), the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), the Asian Development Bank (ADB), the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB), and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) in shaping Uzbekistan's investment policy. The study analyzes key initiatives including legislative reform, the development of green financing, infrastructure projects, modernization of the banking sector, and improvement of the investment climate. It demonstrates how IFOs contribute to modernizing the management of state-owned enterprises, attracting foreign direct investment, and fostering sustainable economic growth in the context of global and regional challenges.

**Keywords:** international financial organizations, investment policy, Uzbekistan, IFC, EBRD, IBRD, AIIB, ADB, IMF, sustainable development, foreign investment, green financing, infrastructure projects, privatization, economic reforms.

In recent years, Uzbekistan's engagement with international financial organizations (IFOs) has taken on a more systematic and quantitatively significant nature: IFOs not only advise the government and finance individual projects but also serve as a source of substantial long-term capital that transforms the energy, infrastructure, financial, and education sectors. Alongside technical assistance programs aimed at improving macroeconomic statistics and fiscal transparency, international banks provide substantial credit lines and guarantees, mobilize private investors, and facilitate the implementation of major projects through co-financing. This influence manifests itself both in direct investments and loans, as well as in the indirect improvement of the investment climate—through legislative initiatives, corporate governance standards, and the introduction of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) practices. At the same time, the inflow of foreign direct investment (FDI) into Uzbekistan in 2020–2023 has shown recovery after the pandemic, partly due to the increased activity of IFOs, which supported infrastructure and energy projects and eased the entry of private capital into the market. Below are comparable figures on the financial presence of major international institutions and the dynamics of FDI, illustrating the scale and channels through which IFOs influence the country's investment policy.

An important effect is that IFOs often act as a “catalyst” for private investment: their projects reduce risks for private lenders, increase transparency, and demonstrate the applicability of new corporate governance standards, which in turn makes the economy more attractive to international investors. The growth of FDI in 2021–2022 coincided with the rising activity of international banks and investment agencies; part of the inflows came through energy and industrial modernization projects in which the role of IFOs was decisive, providing equity/debt financing and mobilizing private lenders. The decline in FDI in 2023, according to the Balance of Payments data (see table), does not negate the substantial volume of investment agreements and government programs (for example, the National Investment Program 2023–2025, with projects worth billions of dollars), some of which are being implemented in stages and are

reflected in statistics later or in other forms (guarantees, credit lines, trade and project agreements). State and multilateral programs supported by IFOs also contribute to expanding domestic bank lending and issuing local financing instruments, including green bonds and syndicated loans, thus increasing financing opportunities for the local private sector.

Despite the clearly positive contribution, the influence of IFOs is not always unambiguous: requirements for structural reforms and privatization sometimes provoke internal debates about the social dimension and sustainability of reforms; projects require high institutional readiness to ensure proper use of funds and avoid implementation delays. Almost all major AIIB, EBRD, IFC, and World Bank programs over the past three years have included technical assistance components aimed at improving procurement procedures, corporate governance, and monitoring environmental and social risks — an important channel through which IFOs transform investment policy not only in quantitative but also in institutional terms.

International financial organizations play a significant role in shaping and implementing Uzbekistan's investment policy, influencing it through strategic consulting, financing, legislative reform, and infrastructure development. The World Bank supports the country in developing a long-term strategy for attracting foreign direct investment, targeting an annual inflow of around USD 5 billion. This work emphasizes privatization, expanding private sector participation, encouraging innovation, and introducing a green economy. In addition, the Bank has approved several development operations aimed at accelerating the transition to a market model and strengthening environmental sustainability, and has also facilitated the adoption of a green strategic framework within the Ministry of Finance.

The International Monetary Fund exerts its influence through regular consultations and monitoring of macroeconomic stability. In its recommendations, the IMF emphasizes the need to increase budget transparency, limit external borrowing, strengthen banking supervision, and accelerate privatization. It also assists in improving foreign exchange reserve management and debt policy, including the Central Bank's participation in international asset management programs.

The International Finance Corporation focuses on reforming investment legislation, including investor protection, improving access to international dispute resolution mechanisms, and encouraging private investment in special economic zones. The IFC made a significant contribution to the privatization of Ipoteka Bank by providing financing, improving corporate governance, and attracting a strategic investor.

The Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank and the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development channel resources into infrastructure modernization, energy sector development, and the implementation of renewable energy projects. The EBRD also actively invests in green projects and the support of private businesses, increasing the overall volume of investments in the country's economy. The Eurasian Development Bank provides technical and analytical assistance in preparing investment projects, reducing financial and organizational costs for Uzbekistan, which has become one of the bank's major shareholders.

In addition to intergovernmental structures, private international funds are involved in the country's investment policy, such as Franklin Templeton, which, through the national investment fund, is preparing to list state assets worth USD 1.7 billion on international markets. Together, these initiatives contribute to Uzbekistan's integration into global economic processes, the improvement of its investment climate, and the attraction of long-term capital, thereby strengthening the country's position on the international stage.

## References

1. Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (2022). Uzbekistan: Advancing Uzbekistan Economic and Social Transformation Development Policy Operation. - <https://www.aiib.org/en/projects/details/2022/approved/Uzbekistan-Advancing-Uzbekistan-Economic-and-Social-Transformation-Development-Policy-Operation.html>

2. Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (2023). Uzbekistan: First Inclusive and Resilient Market Economy Development Policy Operation. - <https://www.aiib.org/en/projects/details/2023/approved/Uzbekistan-First-Inclusive-and-Resilient-Market-Economy-Development-Policy-Operation.html>
3. World Bank (2025). Uzbekistan Country Overview. - <https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/uzbekistan/overview>
4. World Bank (2023). Electricity Sector Transformation and Resilient Transmission Project. - <https://projects.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/project-detail/P171683>
5. International Finance Corporation (2022). IFC Supports Uzbekistan's Energy Transition with Landmark Power Projects. - <https://pressroom.ifc.org/all/pages/PressDetail.aspx?ID=27264>
6. European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (2022). Tashkent District Heating Rehabilitation. - <https://www.ebrd.com/work-with-us/projects/psd/tashkent-district-heating-rehabilitation.html>
7. International Monetary Fund (2025). Uzbekistan: 2025 Article IV Consultation – Press Release; Staff Report; and Statement by the Executive Director for Uzbekistan. - <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/CR/Issues/2025/06/18/Uzbekistan-2025-Article-IV-Consultation-Press-Release-Staff-Report-and-Statement-by-the-Executive-Director-590219>
8. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2023). Financing Uzbekistan's Green Transition. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org/publications/financing-uzbekistan-s-green-transition-6ebf6b94-en.htm>
9. Macrotrends (2024). *Uzbekistan Foreign Direct Investment 2000–2024*. - <https://www.macrotrends.net/countries/UZB/uzbekistan/foreign-direct-investment>
10. Han-Sol Lee, Sergey U. Chernikov, Szabolcs Nagy (2022). Motivations and Locational Factors of FDI in CIS Countries: Empirical Evidence from South Korean FDI in Kazakhstan, Russia, and Uzbekistan. - <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.13841>