MILITARIZATION AND SOCIETY: GLOBAL TRENDS AND RISKS

Mirzakhammadova Odina Mirzokhid kizi
3rd-year student, Department of Sociology,

Faculty of History, Fergana State University

Abstract: This article analyzes the social, political, and cultural consequences of the militarization process on a global scale. It focuses on how the strengthening of military-oriented policies, the arms race, the allocation of state resources to defense sectors, and the restructuring of social life based on military principles pose threats to global security, peace, and social stability. The article also explores the ideological, economic, and informational forms of militarization and examines their impact on society from a sociological perspective.
Keywords: militarization, society, security policy, peace, military ideology, arms race, sociological risk, international politics, military-industrial complex.

In recent decades, the process of global militarization has influenced almost every aspect of world politics and social life. Militarization refers to the increasing importance of military power, armament, and defense systems in the life of the state, as well as the dominance of military ideas in social institutions, culture, education, the economy, and mass consciousness.

In today's context of geopolitical instability, regional conflicts, international terrorism, and the threat of nuclear weapons, military policies are becoming increasingly prominent. At the same time, militarization is not only a matter of state policy—it is also reflected in social consciousness, the education system, mass media, and even daily culture.

This article comprehensively analyzes the global manifestations of militarization, associated threats, and their negative effects on society.

Militarization is the deep penetration of military forces, institutions, and values into various aspects of social life. This process is not limited to armament or military strengthening; it is also reflected in the dominance of military ideology and approaches in society.

From a sociological perspective, militarization is expressed in the following ways:

- allocating a significant portion of state expenditures to defense;
- strengthening military-patriotic ideas in the education system;
- idealizing military heroism in mass media and films;
- the weakening of civil institutions in favor of military structures;
- the integration of military technologies into civilian life.

Such developments may lead to the expansion of force-based decision-making methods and the weakening of democratic principles in society.

In the 21st century, militarization is intensifying globally based on several trends:

1. **Arms race:** Competition in arms production and military technologies is growing between countries such as the U.S., Russia, and China. NATO members are also significantly increasing their military budgets.
2. **Collapse of nuclear balance:** The termination of arms control agreements like the INF and New START between the U.S. and Russia has weakened global security.
3. **Regional militarization:** Regions such as the Middle East, the Korean Peninsula, and India-China border conflicts are accelerating regional arms buildups.
4. **Military technology and artificial intelligence:** Modern warfare is increasingly linked to AI, drones, and cyber technologies. This not only intensifies armed conflicts but also escalates information warfare.

These trends weaken the principle of human security and lead to the normalization of military threats as an accepted reality.

Militarization significantly affects key social institutions:

- **Education system:** Military mentality is introduced in schools and universities under the guise of patriotism; critical thinking is devalued in favor of obedience.
- **Culture:** In films, books, and popular music, military figures are portrayed as ideal heroes. The aestheticization of war contributes to the normalization of violence in society.
- **Mass media:** Military actions are promoted as "just" and "necessary" through the media. A collective image of the "enemy" is created in the public mind.
- **Economy:** The military-industrial complex develops rapidly, with a significant portion of economic resources diverted from social sectors to defense.

These factors lead to an expansion of coercion-based communication methods, restrictions on civil liberties, and the authoritarian shift of the state.

In his seminal work "The Power Elite" (1956), American sociologist C. Wright Mills drew attention to the "military-industrial complex" formed by the alliance between political elites, the military, and business representatives. Today, this concept remains highly relevant for many developed nations.
Due to the convergence of interests between military production enterprises and politicians, military budgets are consistently increased. Funding the military is seen as a source of economic profit, job creation, and political power. This elevates militarization to a systemic level.

The intensification of militarization leads to the following risks within society:

- **Weakening of democratic values:** Politics based on the use of force, censorship, and intolerance of criticism gain prominence.
- **Retreat of civil society:** Military ideology suppresses civilian initiatives.
- **Rise of nationalism and enemy images:** The "us vs. them" dichotomy intensifies, fueling nationalism and xenophobia.
- **Misallocation of social resources:** Funds that should be directed to healthcare, education, and environmental protection are instead allocated to armament.
- **Normalization of violence and psychological pressure:** Military culture glorifies violence and rigidity, legitimizing violent behavior in society.

Globally, the following alternative approaches are being proposed in response to militarization:

- **The human security concept:** Redefining security not just through military means, but through economic stability, education, healthcare, and environmental protection.
- **Promotion of a culture of peace:** Introducing ideas of peace, dialogue, and inclusiveness within the education system.
- **Limiting and ensuring transparency of military budgets:** Establishing international agreements and monitoring mechanisms to halt the arms race.
- **Civilian oversight:** Ensuring that the military is monitored by civil society and enabling public participation in military policy.

These approaches help reduce the threats posed by militarization and contribute to building a more stable society.

Militarization is a deeply rooted process in modern society that often poses serious threats to social stability, democracy, and peace. It can lead to increased armament, the dominance of military ideology, the glorification of violence in culture, the misallocation of social resources, and the authoritarian drift of political systems. To mitigate these risks, it is essential to promote alternative approaches to militarization—particularly by developing a culture of peace, advancing the human security concept, and strengthening civilian oversight of military policies.

REFERENCES:

1. C. Wright Mills (1956). The Power Elite. Oxford University Press.
2. Mary Kaldor (2007). Human Security. Polity Press.
3. Johan Galtung (1996). Peace by Peaceful Means: Peace and Conflict, Development and Civilization. SAGE Publications.
4. The Defense Concept of the Republic of Uzbekistan – www.lex.uz
5. SIPRI (Stockholm International Peace Research Institute) Yearbooks – www.sipri.org
6. Sharipov, R. (2023). "The Conflict Between Armament and Social Stability." Politics and Society, No. 2.
7. Ahmed, A. (2020). "Militarism and Media: Constructing the Image of War." Journal of Political Studies, Vol. 35.