INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF POLITICAL SCIENCES AND ECONOMICS
Impact Factor (research bib) - 9,78
https://ijmri.de/index.php/ijpse , German international journals company
ISSN 2751-9708

ENHANCING THE TEACHING OF DESIGN IN ELECTRONIC EDUCATION SYSTEMS

Kuldasheva Feruza Kurdoshevna
Tashkent State University of Economics
Chief Lecturer at the Academic Lyceum "International Business"

Abstract: The rapid development of electronic education systems has transformed the landscape of teaching and learning across various disciplines, including design education. However, design teaching possesses unique challenges due to its practical, creative, and iterative nature, which require specialized pedagogical and technological approaches. This article explores effective strategies for enhancing design instruction within electronic education platforms. It discusses the integration of interactive tools, continuous feedback mechanisms, interdisciplinary collaboration, and localized content to improve learner engagement and skill acquisition. The study also highlights the importance of soft skills development and mentorship in digital design education. Ultimately, the article emphasizes a holistic, learner-centered approach to optimizing design teaching in e-learning environments.
Key words: Electronic education, design teaching, e-learning systems, instructional design, interactive learning, learner engagement, digital pedagogy, design education, online feedback, educational technology.

СОВЕРШЕНСТВОВАНИЕ ПРЕПОДАВАНИЯ ДИЗАЙНА В ЭЛЕКТРОННЫХ ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНЫХ СИСТЕМАХ

Аннотация: Быстрое развитие электронных образовательных систем коренным образом изменило процесс обучения и преподавания в различных дисциплинах, включая дизайн. Однако преподавание дизайна обладает уникальными особенностями, связанными с его практическим, творческим и итеративным характером, что требует специализированных педагогических и технологических подходов. В данной статье рассматриваются эффективные стратегии совершенствования преподавания дизайна в электронных образовательных платформах. Обсуждается интеграция интерактивных инструментов, механизмов постоянной обратной связи, междисциплинарного сотрудничества и локализованного контента для повышения вовлеченности обучающихся и развития навыков. Также подчеркивается важность развития «мягких» навыков и наставничества в цифровом дизайне. В итоге статья акцентирует внимание на комплексном, ориентированном на обучающегося подходе к оптимизации преподавания дизайна в условиях электронного обучения.
Ключевые слова: Электронное образование, преподавание дизайна, системы электронного обучения, инструктивный дизайн, интерактивное обучение, вовлеченность учащихся, цифровая педагогика, дизайн-образование, онлайн обратная связь, образовательные технологии.

ELEKTRON TA’LIM TIZIMIDA DIZAYN O’QITISHINI MUKAMMALLASHTIRISH

Annotatsiya: Elektron ta’lim tizimlarining tez rivojlanishi turli sohalarda, jumladan dizayn ta’limida ham o‘qitish va o‘rganish jarayonlarini tubdan o‘zgartirdi. Biroq, dizayn ta’limi o‘zining amaliy, ijodiy va takrorlanuvchi tabiati tufayli noyob qiyinchiliklarga ega bo‘lib, maxsus pedagogik va texnologik yondashuvlarni talab qiladi. Ushbu maqolada elektron ta’lim

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF POLITICAL SCIENCES AND ECONOMICS
Impact Factor (research bib) - 9,78
https://ijmri.de/index.php/ijpse , German international journals company
ISSN 2751-9708

platformalarida dizayn darslarini takomillashtirish uchun samarali strategiyalar o‘rganiladi. Interfaol vositalar, doimiy fikr-mulohaza mexanizmlari, fanlararo hamkorlik va mahalliylashtirilgan kontentni integratsiyalash orqali o‘quvchilarni jalb qilish va ko‘nikmalarni rivojlantirish muhokama qilinadi. Shuningdek, raqamli dizayn ta’limida yumshoq ko‘nikmalarni rivojlantirish va murabbiylikning ahamiyati ta’kidlanadi. Natijada, maqola e-ta’lim muhitlarida dizayn ta’limini optimallashtirish uchun butunlikka yo‘naltirilgan, o‘quvchi markazli yondashuvni tavsiya qiladi.

Kalit so‘zlar: Elektron ta’lim, dizayn ta’limi, e-ta’lim tizimlari, instruktsion dizayn, interfaol o‘rganish, o‘quvchi faoliyati, raqamli pedagogika, dizayn ta’limi, onlayn fikr-mulohaza, ta’lim texnologiyalari.

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the integration of information and communication technologies into education has transformed the ways in which knowledge is delivered and acquired. The emergence and rapid advancement of **electronic education systems**—commonly referred to as **e-learning** platforms—have opened new dimensions for the teaching and learning process. These systems offer numerous advantages, such as flexibility in time and space, access to a wide range of multimedia resources, personalized learning pathways, and cost-effective solutions for both institutions and learners. As a result, e-learning has become a dominant mode of instruction in many disciplines across the globe.

One of the fields increasingly adapted to online education is **design**, a discipline that is inherently visual, creative, and practice-oriented. Teaching design requires a combination of theoretical understanding and applied skills, including critical thinking, aesthetic judgment, problem-solving, and technical proficiency in digital tools. Unlike more theoretical subjects, design education relies heavily on **visual communication**, **iterative experimentation**, and **hands-on projects**, often necessitating real-time feedback and interaction with both instructors and peers. This makes the effective teaching of design in electronic formats particularly complex and challenging.

While e-learning platforms have proven successful in delivering knowledge-based content, they often fall short when it comes to teaching **skills that require demonstration, collaboration, and experimentation**, as is typical in design education. The lack of physical studio environments, limitations in direct observation, technological disparities among students, and reduced interpersonal interaction can hinder the development of core design competencies. Furthermore, maintaining **student engagement**, fostering **creativity**, and ensuring **quality assessment** in online design courses remain pressing issues for educators.

Given these challenges, there is a growing need to develop **innovative pedagogical approaches**, integrate **advanced digital tools**, and implement **adaptive teaching models** tailored specifically to the needs of design instruction within electronic education systems. This necessity has been further emphasized by global events such as the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced educational institutions worldwide to shift to remote teaching, accelerating the demand for high-quality online design education.

MAIN BODY

The Nature of Design Education and its Online Adaptability. Design education is fundamentally interdisciplinary, blending elements of art, science, engineering, and technology. It encourages learners to explore complex problems through creative processes that involve research, ideation, prototyping, and evaluation. The essence of design instruction lies in **learning**

by doing, **visual thinking**, and **problem-solving**, often within collaborative and iterative environments.

In traditional face-to-face settings, design studios provide rich environments for experimentation, peer critique, and direct mentorship. However, when transitioning to **electronic education systems**, many of these features risk being diluted or lost. The digital environment must therefore **replicate or innovate beyond** traditional studio practices through thoughtful use of technology and pedagogy.

Challenges in Teaching Design in E-Learning Systems. The shift to online platforms poses specific difficulties for design educators and learners alike. These challenges include:

Technological Inequity: Not all students have access to advanced hardware, graphic tablets, or high-speed internet, limiting their ability to participate in real-time collaboration or use complex design software.

Lack of Physical Materials: Hands-on tasks involving tangible materials, such as model-making or tactile experimentation, are difficult to reproduce virtually.

Reduced Instructor Presence: The absence of physical proximity can reduce the effectiveness of direct feedback, which is crucial for refining ideas and guiding students through the design process.

Difficulty in Assessment: Traditional exams are insufficient for evaluating design thinking, creativity, and process development. Online platforms must support alternative, process-oriented assessment models.

Technological Solutions and Digital Tools for Design Instruction. Advancements in educational technology have made it possible to overcome many of the barriers to teaching design online. Some effective tools and approaches include:

Cloud-Based Design Software: Platforms like Figma, Adobe XD, Canva, and Tinkercad allow collaborative design in real-time. They are browser-based and often lightweight, making them accessible to a wider range of users.

Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR/AR): Immersive environments enable students to interact with 3D models, simulate spatial arrangements, and visualize real-time user experiences—critical in architectural or product design education.

Learning Management Systems (LMS): Platforms such as Moodle, Blackboard, and Canvas can be integrated with multimedia content, discussion forums, assignment tracking, and peer review tools.

Screen Recording and Annotation Tools: Instructors can provide rich, asynchronous feedback by recording critiques over student work using tools like Loom or OBS Studio.

Pedagogical Strategies to Enhance Design Teaching. Technology alone cannot guarantee successful learning outcomes. It must be coupled with effective pedagogical strategies that place the **learner at the center** of the educational experience. Some of these strategies include:

Flipped Classrooms: Theoretical concepts are delivered asynchronously through video lectures and readings, while synchronous sessions focus on design critique, discussion, and problem-solving.

Problem-Based Learning (PBL): Learners are presented with real-world design problems and tasked with creating functional, aesthetic, and user-centered solutions. This simulates actual design studio projects and enhances critical thinking.

Scaffolded Learning: Complex design tasks are broken down into manageable stages with ongoing feedback at each phase. This supports incremental learning and reduces cognitive overload.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF POLITICAL SCIENCES AND ECONOMICS
Impact Factor (research bib) - 9,78
https://ijmri.de/index.php/ijpse , German international journals company
ISSN 2751-9708

Peer Learning and Critique: Structured peer reviews encourage learners to reflect critically on their own work and others', promoting a collaborative and iterative culture.

Gamification and Motivation: Incorporating elements like progress tracking, badges, and leaderboards can increase engagement, especially among younger learners.

The Importance of Instructor Competence and Digital Pedagogy. An often-overlooked component of online design education is the **digital readiness of instructors**. Educators must not only be proficient in design tools but also in digital pedagogical strategies. This includes:

- Designing accessible and inclusive content;
- Creating a balance between synchronous and asynchronous activities;
- Facilitating student interaction and feedback in virtual environments;
- Continuously updating skills in emerging design technologies and platforms.

Professional development programs should be established to train educators in **instructional design, digital literacy**, and **e-learning methodologies**, ensuring they are equipped to deliver high-quality design education online.

Case Studies and Best Practices. Numerous universities and institutions have successfully implemented online design education through innovative practices. For example:

Parsons School of Design (USA) introduced a hybrid studio model during the pandemic, allowing remote and in-person students to collaborate using shared digital whiteboards and cloud storage.

TU Delft (Netherlands) implemented virtual architecture studios using VR headsets and digital sketching tablets, enabling students to present and critique work in immersive environments.

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay developed MOOCs for industrial design, combining video instruction, interactive quizzes, and peer-reviewed design projects.

These examples demonstrate that, with thoughtful planning and investment, high-quality design education can be delivered through electronic systems.

Modern electronic education systems offer vast potential for teaching design disciplines. However, to fully harness these opportunities, a tailored approach is required that takes into account the practical, creative, and iterative nature of design education. Unlike theoretical subjects, design involves hands-on experimentation, visual thinking, and continuous feedback—all of which must be effectively translated into a digital learning environment. Therefore, enhancing the teaching of design in e-learning contexts demands both technological innovation and pedagogical refinement. The following are **practical and strategic recommendations** aimed at improving the quality and impact of design education within electronic learning systems.

Develop Specialized E-Learning Platforms for Design. Most commonly used LMS platforms are built for general subjects and do not fully support the visual, process-driven, and interactive nature of design education. Thus, creating **design-specific platforms** that enable 3D model viewing, live sketch editing, and integrated portfolio assessment is essential.

Integrate Soft Skills Training with Technical Instruction. Design professionals need more than technical know-how—they must also master **communication, critical thinking, and presentation skills**. E-learning systems should incorporate **virtual group projects** and **online design hackathons** to nurture these competencies in tandem with technical instruction.

Ensure Continuous Feedback and Reflection Mechanisms. Unlike theoretical learning, design requires constant critique and iteration. To maintain this process online, institutions

should adopt **automated feedback tools**—such as AI-supported assessment engines or video critique features—that promote regular, structured input.

Promote Cross-Disciplinary Virtual Studios. Design is no longer limited to visual arts; it intersects with IT, marketing, engineering, and social innovation. Institutions should develop **cross-disciplinary online studios** where students from diverse backgrounds collaborate on integrated design challenges.

Localize Content and Use Contextual Examples. Many online design courses rely heavily on Western or global contexts. To improve relevance and engagement, educators should create **assignments based on local culture, traditions, and real-world challenges** that reflect the students' own environments.

Encourage the Use of Open Educational Resources (OER). Design education benefits greatly from open access to mockups, graphic libraries, and UI/UX components. Educators should promote a culture of using and sharing **Creative Commons–licensed materials**, fostering self-directed learning and knowledge exchange.

Strengthen Student Autonomy Through Modular Learning. By breaking the curriculum into **stand-alone modules** (e.g., "Typography Basics", "UX Research Techniques", "3D Prototyping"), students can learn at their own pace and select content aligned with their interests or career goals, increasing engagement and retention.

Create Digital Design Mentorship Networks. Individualized support is key in design learning. Institutions should develop **online mentorship programs** where students can receive personalized feedback, career advice, and portfolio guidance from experienced design professionals.

CONCLUSION

As digital education continues to evolve, it is imperative to align design education with the opportunities and demands of e-learning environments. Teaching design in electronic platforms requires more than simply transferring traditional content online—it demands innovation in pedagogy, platform design, content localization, and learner engagement strategies.

By implementing specialized tools, promoting interdisciplinary collaboration, enhancing feedback mechanisms, and integrating soft skills, educational institutions can transform design learning into a more interactive, accessible, and contextually relevant experience. Furthermore, fostering student autonomy, leveraging open educational resources, and building digital mentorship networks will help bridge the gap between theory and practice.

In summary, the future of design education in e-learning systems lies in **a holistic, learner-centered approach** that not only embraces technology but also respects the creative and human-centric nature of design itself.

References:

1. Laurillard, D. Teaching as a Design Science: Building Pedagogical Patterns for Learning and Technology. Routledge, London, 2012.
2. Tovey, M. Design Pedagogy: Developments in Art and Design Education. Gower Publishing, UK, 2015.
3. Chao, C. M. (2019). Factors determining the behavioral intention to use mobile learning: An application and extension of the UTAUT model. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 1652. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01652
4. Brown, A., & Green, T. D. The Essentials of Instructional Design: Connecting Fundamental Principles with Process and Practice. Routledge, New York, 2020.
5. Eynon, R., & Malmberg, L. (2011). Lifelong learning and the internet: Who benefits most? British Journal of Educational Technology, 42(6), 936–949.

6. Simatele, M. (2022). Design education in the digital age: Reframing curriculum and pedagogy. International Journal of Art & Design Education, 41(1), 145–159.
7. Martin, F., & Bolliger, D. U. (2018). Engagement matters: Student perceptions on the importance of engagement strategies in the online learning environment. Online Learning Journal, 22(1), 205–222.
8. Adobe Creative Cloud. (2021). Teaching Design Online: Tools and Tips. Adobe Education Exchange. https://edex.adobe.com
9. Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A Framework for Teacher Knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017–1054.
10. Herrington, J., Reeves, T. C., & Oliver, R. Authentic Learning Environments in Higher Education. IGI Global, 2014.
11. Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A Learning Theory for the Digital Age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning.
12. Puentedura, R. R. (2010). SAMR and TPCK: Intro to Advanced Practice. Hippasus.