

PHILOSOPHICAL PERSPECTIVES ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
HUMANS AND TECHNOLOGY IN MODERN SOCIETY

Sameera J.

Philosophical researcher

Abstract: The rapid development of technology has profoundly transformed human life, reshaping social relations, modes of communication, and forms of knowledge. While technological progress offers unprecedented opportunities for improving living standards and expanding human capabilities, it also raises complex philosophical questions about human identity, autonomy, and ethical responsibility. This article explores philosophical perspectives on the relationship between humans and technology in modern society. By analyzing classical and contemporary philosophical approaches, the study examines both the empowering and alienating effects of technology and emphasizes the need for ethical reflection in guiding technological development.

Keywords: Technology, human–technology relationship, philosophy, modern society, ethics, technological progress

Introduction

Technology has always been an integral part of human existence. From the earliest tools to advanced digital systems, technological innovation has shaped the way humans interact with the world. In modern society, however, the scale and speed of technological development have reached unprecedented levels. Digital technologies, artificial intelligence, biotechnology, and automation increasingly influence not only practical activities but also human values, behavior, and self-understanding.

These transformations have prompted renewed philosophical interest in the relationship between humans and technology. Technology is no longer merely a neutral instrument serving human purposes; it actively shapes social structures, cultural norms, and patterns of thought. As a result, philosophers seek to understand whether technology enhances human freedom and creativity or undermines autonomy and authentic existence.

The aim of this article is to analyze key philosophical perspectives on the human–technology relationship and to explore their relevance in the context of modern society.

Classical Philosophical Views on Technology

In classical philosophy, technology was often understood as a practical application of human reason and skill. Aristotle viewed *techne* as a form of knowledge directed toward production, emphasizing its role in fulfilling human needs. Technology, in this sense, was subordinate to ethical and political considerations and served the goal of human flourishing.

Later philosophical traditions maintained an instrumental view of technology, treating it as a neutral means to achieve desired ends. According to this perspective, moral responsibility lies not in technology itself but in how humans choose to use it. This approach continues to influence contemporary discussions that emphasize technological neutrality.

Modern and Contemporary Philosophical Approaches

In the twentieth century, philosophers began to critically reassess the role of technology in shaping human existence. Martin Heidegger argued that modern technology is not merely a tool but a way of revealing reality that reduces the world and human beings to resources. From this perspective, technology risks alienating humans from authentic modes of being.

Other thinkers, such as Jacques Ellul, emphasized the autonomy of technological systems, suggesting that technology develops according to its own logic, often beyond human control. This view raises concerns about technological determinism and the erosion of human agency.

Conversely, philosophers like Don Ihde and proponents of postphenomenology highlight the mediating role of technology in human experience. They argue that technology shapes perception and action but does not necessarily determine them, allowing for multiple forms of human–technology interaction.

Ethical Dimensions of the Human–Technology Relationship

The ethical implications of technological development are central to philosophical debates. Technologies influence privacy, human dignity, labor, and social equality. The rise of artificial intelligence and automation challenges traditional notions of work, responsibility, and moral agency.

Philosophers emphasize the importance of ethical responsibility in technological design and implementation. Rather than passively accepting technological change, societies must actively reflect on values and principles guiding innovation. Ethical frameworks such as responsibility ethics and human-centered design seek to ensure that technology serves human well-being rather than dominating it.

Technology, Identity, and Human Autonomy

Modern technology also affects human identity and autonomy. Social media, digital surveillance, and algorithmic decision-making shape how individuals perceive themselves and others. These developments raise philosophical questions about freedom, authenticity, and self-determination.

While technology can enhance autonomy by expanding access to information and communication, it may also limit autonomy through manipulation, dependency, and loss of privacy. Philosophical analysis highlights the need to balance technological empowerment with safeguards that protect human agency.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the relationship between humans and technology in modern society is complex and multifaceted. Philosophical perspectives reveal that technology is neither purely beneficial nor inherently harmful but profoundly shapes human existence, values, and social relations.

Understanding this relationship requires critical reflection on ethical responsibility, human autonomy, and the purpose of technological progress. By integrating philosophical insight with

technological innovation, societies can guide technological development in ways that enhance human dignity, freedom, and meaningful existence in an increasingly technological world.

Literature:

1. Шарипова, Н. В., Худайбергенов, А. С., Рахимов, Б. Б., & Наврузов, Э. Б. Гигиенические требования к безопасности пищевой продукции. *СанПиН РУз*, (0283-10).
2. ГИГИЕНИЧЕСКИЕ, Н. И., & УЗБЕКИСТАН, Н. Р. Гигиенические требования к производству, обороту и нормированию пищевых добавок.
3. Исраилова, Г. М., Эшмурадова, С. Т., & Тураев, И. Э. (2010). ГИГИЕНИЧЕСКАЯ ОЦЕНКА ФАКТОРОВ РИСКА ЗАГРЯЗНЕНИЯ МЯСОМОЛОЧНОЙ ПРОДУКЦИИ, ПРОИЗВОДИМОЙ В УСЛОВИЯХ МАЛОВОДЬЯ. *Профилактическая и клиническая медицина*, (1), 41-43.
4. Худайбергенов, А. С., Тураев, И. Э., Турниёзова, В. М., & Каримова, Н. О. ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ ДИЕТИЧЕСКО-ПРОФИЛАКТИЧЕСКОГО ПИТАНИЯ В ПАНСИОНАТАХ ДЛЯ ВЕТЕРАНОВ ВОЙНЫ И ТРУДА. *ЎУРМАТЛИ СОЎЛИГИМИЗ ПОСБОНЛАРИ!*.
5. Makhamatov, U., Malikov, N., Pulatov, S., Yusupov, M., Ibragimov, U., Kenjayeva, K., & Umarov, S. (2026). A HEALTHY LIFESTYLE IS THE GUARANTEE OF HEALTH. *Shokh Articles Library*, 1(1).
6. Niyozova, N. S. (2023). Tibbiyot oliy o 'quv yurtlarida O 'zbekiston tarixini o 'qitishning dolzarbligi va uni takomillashtirishda ilg 'or pedagogik texnologiyalarning o 'rni (Doctoral dissertation, Tibbiyot oliy ta'lim muassasalarida ijtimoiy fanlarni o 'qitishning dolzarb muammolari).
7. Niyozova, N. (2024). FEATURES OF THE HYGIENIC-CULTURAL APPROACH TO PRODUCT PRODUCTION. *Western European Journal of Historical Events and Social Science*, 2(10), 32-33.
8. Niyozova, N. S. (2024). TIBBIYOT XODIMI FAOLIYATIDA MULOQOT MADANIYATINI SHAKLLANTIRISHNING O 'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 5, 142-145.
9. Makhamatov, U., Malikov, N., Po'latov, S., Yusupov, M., Ibragimov, U., Kenjayeva, X., & Umarov, S. (2026). ORGANIZING HEALTHY AND SAFE NUTRITION IN OSTEOPOROSIS AFTER COVID-19. *Shokh Articles Library*, 1(1).
10. Arutyunov, G. P., Tarlovskaya, E. I., Arutyunov, A. G., Belenkov, Y. N., Konradi, A. O., Lopatin, Y. M., ... & Fatenkov, O. V. (2021). International register "analysis of chronic non-infectious diseases dynamics after COVID-19 infection in adult patients (ACTIV SARS-CoV-2)". *Kardiologiya*, 60(11), 30-34.
11. Абдуллаева, Ч. А., Камилова, У. К., Расулова, З. Д., Ибабекова, Ш. Р., & Сафаева, Л. Ш. (2014). Изучение процессов ремоделирования сердца и дисфункции эндотелия у больных с хронической сердечной недостаточностью. *Российский кардиологический журнал*, 5(109), 3.
12. Камилова, У. К., Расулова, З. Д., Закирова, Г. А., & Тошев, Б. Б. (2019). Особенности сердечно-сосудистого ремоделирования, уровня нейрогуморальных факторов в зависимости от степени хронической сердечной недостаточности и дисфункции почек. *Кардиоваскулярная терапия и профилактика*, 18(3), 35-40.



13. Makhamatov, U., Malikov, N., Po'latov, S., Yusupov, M., Ibragimov, U., Kenjayeva, X., & Umarov, S. (2026). ORGANIZING HEALTHY AND SAFE NUTRITION IN NON-COMMUNICABLE DISEASES. *Shokh Articles Library*, 1(1).