RESEARCH ARTICLE

Understanding Multiple Team Membership: How Organizational Tenure Shapes Team Dynamics

Adelais Weaver

Fustus Liebig University Giessen, Germany

Abstract

This study, Understanding Multiple Team Membership: How Organizational Tenure Shapes Team Dynamics, explores the dynamics of individuals who participate in multiple teams within an organization, with a focus on how organizational tenure moderates these dynamics. As organizations increasingly adopt flexible and cross-functional team structures, employees often find themselves in several teams simultaneously. However, the impact of organizational tenure on the effectiveness and interactions within these teams remains underexplored. This research examines how varying levels of organizational tenure influence individuals' ability to navigate multiple team memberships, balance competing priorities, and contribute effectively to team performance. Using a mixed-methods approach, the study integrates both quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews from employees across various organizations. The findings suggest that individuals with longer organizational tenure are better equipped to manage multiple team roles, demonstrating enhanced communication skills, deeper organizational knowledge, and stronger relationships with team members. Conversely, those with shorter tenure face challenges in adapting to the demands of multiple teams, resulting in lower performance and increased role conflict. The study contributes to understanding the complex relationship between organizational tenure and team dynamics, offering practical insights for organizations in structuring team memberships and supporting employees in multi-team environments.

KEYWORDS

Multiple Team Membership, Organizational Tenure, Team Dynamics, Role Conflict, Cross-Functional Teams, Organizational Knowledge, Employee Performance, Team Management, Team Interaction, Workplace Relationships.

INTRODUCTION

In today's complex and dynamic work environments, individuals often find themselves involved in multiple teams simultaneously. This phenomenon, known as multiple team membership (MTM), has received increasing attention in organizational research. While MTM offers potential benefits such as increased access to diverse information, enhanced skill development, and expanded social networks, it also poses challenges such as role overload, conflicting demands, and coordination difficulties. Understanding the benefits and disadvantages of MTM and the factors that influence these outcomes is crucial for both individuals and organizations. One important yet understudied factor is organizational tenure, which refers to the length of time an individual has been affiliated with an organization. This study aims to explore the benefits and disadvantages of MTM and investigate the moderating role of

organizational tenure in shaping these outcomes.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology for Understanding Multiple Team Membership: How Organizational Tenure Shapes Team Dynamics is designed to explore the relationship between organizational tenure and the effectiveness of employees participating in multiple teams within organizations. This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining both quantitative and qualitative research to gather comprehensive insights on how organizational tenure influences team dynamics in multi-team environments. The research design follows a sequential explanatory strategy, where quantitative data collection and analysis precede qualitative data collection, allowing the latter to further explain and contextualize the numerical findings.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The research is conducted in two phases: the first phase involves a quantitative survey, and the second phase is a series of in-depth qualitative interviews. This combination enables a well-rounded understanding of the subject, as the quantitative analysis provides generalizable results while the qualitative interviews offer deeper insights into individual experiences and perceptions.

Phase 1: Quantitative Survey

The first phase of the study involves the distribution of a structured survey to employees across multiple organizations. The target population consists of individuals who are currently engaged in more than one team within their organization. The survey is designed to measure key variables such as organizational tenure, perceived role conflict, communication effectiveness, team performance, and overall satisfaction with team membership. To capture the effect of tenure on team dynamics, the survey includes questions on the length of time participants have been with the organization and the specific teams they are currently involved in.

The survey instrument is developed through a comprehensive review of existing literature on multiple team membership, organizational tenure, and team dynamics. The survey includes both Likert-scale items (for measuring attitudes and perceptions) and behavioral questions (for measuring the frequency and nature of team interactions). A total of 500 employees from various industries are surveyed, with a response rate of approximately 70%, resulting in 350 completed surveys.

In the analysis of the survey data, statistical techniques such as regression analysis and correlation tests are used to examine the relationship between organizational tenure and the reported outcomes in the context of multiple team membership. Specifically, the study looks for patterns in how organizational tenure moderates the effects of role conflict, communication quality, and team performance. The survey results are also segmented by tenure groups (short tenure, medium tenure, and long tenure) to identify any

significant differences in how employees with varying levels of organizational experience manage their multiple team memberships.

Phase 2: Qualitative Interviews

The second phase of the study complements the quantitative survey with in-depth qualitative interviews. From the pool of survey participants, a purposive sample of 30 employees is selected to represent a range of organizational tenures (10 employees with less than two years of tenure, 10 employees with two to five years, and 10 employees with more than five years). The qualitative interviews aim to provide deeper insights into the survey findings and explore the nuances of how organizational tenure shapes team dynamics.

The interviews are semi-structured, allowing for flexibility in responses while ensuring that specific topics related to multiple team membership and organizational tenure are covered. The interview guide includes open-ended questions such as: "How do you manage competing demands from different teams?" "What challenges have you faced in your teams as your tenure has increased?" and "How do you perceive the role of your organizational knowledge in contributing to team dynamics?" The interviews are conducted in person or via video conference, depending on participant location, and are recorded with participant consent for subsequent transcription and analysis.

Using qualitative data analysis software (e.g., NVivo), the interviews are coded thematically to identify common patterns and key insights related to the research questions. Thematic analysis is employed to examine how organizational tenure affects individuals' ability to manage multiple team memberships, focusing on themes such as role conflict, communication, trust-building, and leadership in team settings. Additionally, the interviews explore the perceptions of participants about how their organizational knowledge and experience contribute to their ability to navigate multiple teams effectively.

Integration of Quantitative and Qualitative Data

Once both the quantitative and qualitative data are collected, the results are integrated to provide a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between organizational tenure and team dynamics. The survey data provide a broad overview of how organizational tenure impacts role conflict, communication, and performance, while the qualitative interviews offer contextual explanations for these findings. The triangulation of both data types helps to validate the research conclusions and strengthens the overall reliability of the study.

Data Analysis Techniques

For the quantitative data analysis, regression models are employed to assess the strength of the relationship between organizational tenure and key variables such as role conflict and team performance. The analysis considers other potential moderating factors, including the size of the team, the type of work teams are engaged in (e.g., project-based or ongoing), and the presence of leadership or mentoring

support. The regression models control for these factors to isolate the effect of organizational tenure on the outcomes of interest.

For the qualitative data analysis, thematic coding is used to categorize interview responses into core themes. The coding process is iterative, with new themes emerging as the data is reviewed and analyzed. The interviews provide rich, detailed descriptions of the participants' experiences and offer valuable insights into how tenure influences the dynamics of multiple team memberships. The findings from both the quantitative and qualitative phases are compared and contrasted to provide a holistic view of the research questions.

Ethical Considerations

The study adheres to ethical guidelines throughout the research process. Informed consent is obtained from all survey and interview participants, and they are assured that their participation is voluntary and that their responses will be kept confidential. Participants are also informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any point without penalty. Ethical considerations regarding participant anonymity and data privacy are strictly maintained, with data stored securely and only accessible to the research team.

Limitations

While the study provides valuable insights into the impact of organizational tenure on multiple team membership, there are some limitations to consider. First, the study is cross-sectional, meaning that it provides a snapshot of the relationship between tenure and team dynamics at one point in time. Longitudinal studies would be necessary to observe changes over time and understand the long-term effects of organizational tenure on multiple team memberships. Additionally, the study focuses on employees from diverse industries, which could introduce variability in the findings due to industry-specific practices and organizational cultures.

RESULTS

The analysis of the collected data revealed several key findings regarding the benefits and disadvantages of individuals' multiple team membership (MTM) and the moderating role of organizational tenure. Firstly, it was found that individuals who engage in MTM reported experiencing both benefits and disadvantages. The benefits included increased task diversity, access to diverse knowledge and skills, expanded social networks, and enhanced learning opportunities. On the other hand, the disadvantages of MTM included role overload, conflicting demands, and coordination challenges.

Secondly, the results indicated that the relationship between MTM and its outcomes was influenced by organizational tenure. Specifically, longer-tenured employees tended to experience different benefits and disadvantages compared to shorter-tenured employees. For longer-tenured employees, the benefits of MTM were more prominent, including greater skill development, improved career prospects, and higher job satisfaction. However, these employees also faced unique challenges, such as higher role overload and potential role ambiguity.

In contrast, shorter-tenured employees reported more pronounced disadvantages of MTM, such as coordination difficulties and reduced job satisfaction.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study contribute to our understanding of the complex nature of MTM and its outcomes. The results highlight the dual nature of MTM, with individuals experiencing both positive and negative consequences. The benefits of MTM, such as increased task diversity and knowledge acquisition, align with previous research findings. However, the study also emphasizes the importance of considering individual differences, particularly organizational tenure, in understanding the outcomes of MTM.

The moderating role of organizational tenure suggests that the benefits and disadvantages of MTM evolve over time. Longer-tenured employees may have developed better coping mechanisms and accumulated valuable experience that enables them to effectively navigate the challenges of MTM. On the other hand, shorter-tenured employees may still be in the process of adjusting to the demands of multiple teams, leading to higher levels of stress and dissatisfaction.

The findings also have practical implications for individuals and organizations. For individuals, understanding the potential benefits and disadvantages of MTM can help them make informed decisions about their involvement in multiple teams. Additionally, recognizing the moderating role of organizational tenure can help employees and managers anticipate and manage the challenges associated with MTM more effectively.

For organizations, the findings underscore the importance of considering organizational tenure when designing team structures and managing employee workloads. Tailoring support and resources to the specific needs of longer-tenured and shorter-tenured employees can enhance the positive outcomes of MTM and mitigate its potential disadvantages. HR practices, such as training and development programs, can be designed to address the unique challenges faced by employees at different tenure levels.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study contributes to the literature by examining the benefits and disadvantages of individuals' multiple team membership and investigating the moderating role of organizational tenure. The findings highlight the multifaceted nature of MTM, with individuals experiencing both positive and negative outcomes. The results demonstrate that organizational tenure plays a crucial role in shaping the outcomes of MTM, with longer-tenured employees experiencing different benefits and disadvantages compared to shorter-tenured employees.

By understanding the dynamics of MTM and its outcomes, individuals and organizations can make informed decisions regarding participation in multiple teams and develop strategies to optimize the benefits and mitigate the disadvantages. Future research could further explore the underlying mechanisms and boundary conditions of MTM outcomes, considering additional individual and contextual factors.

Overall, this study provides valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities associated with individuals' participation in multiple teams and the role of organizational tenure in influencing these outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Ancona, D. G., & Caldwell, D. F. (1992). Bridging the boundary: External activity and performance in organizational teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 37(4), 634-665.

2. Jehn, K. A., Northcraft, G. B., & Neale, M. A. (1999). Why differences make a difference: A field study of diversity, conflict, and performance in workgroups. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(4), 741-763.

3. Joshi, A., & Roh, H. (2009). The role of context in work team diversity research: A meta-analytic review. Academy of Management Journal, 52(3), 599-627.

4. Kozlowski, S. W., & Ilgen, D. R. (2006). Enhancing the effectiveness of work groups and teams. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 7(3), 77-124.

5. Mathieu, J. E., Marks, M. A., & Zaccaro, S. J. (2001). A comprehensive model of team effectiveness. In M. A. West (Ed.), International handbook of organizational teamwork and cooperative working (pp. 31-49). John Wiley & Sons.

6. Morgeson, F. P., & Humphrey, S. E. (2006). The Work Design Questionnaire (WDQ): Developing and validating a comprehensive measure for assessing job design and the nature of work. Journal of Applied Psychology, 91(6), 1321-1339.

7. Rousseau, D. M. (1985). Issues of level in organizational research: Multi-level and cross-level perspectives. Research in Organizational Behavior, 7, 1-37.

8. Scott, S. G., & Bruce, R. A. (1994). Determinants of innovative behavior: A path model of individual innovation in the workplace. Academy of Management Journal, 37(3), 580-607.

9. West, M. A. (2000). Reflexivity, revolution, and innovation in work teams. In M. A. West (Ed.), Innovation and creativity at work: Psychological and organizational strategies (pp. 251-276). John Wiley & Sons.

10. Zaccaro, S. J., & Klimoski, R. (2001). The nature of organizational leadership: An introduction. In S. J. Zaccaro & R. Klimoski (Eds.), The nature of organizational leadership: Understanding the performance imperatives confronting today's leaders (pp. 3-16). Jossey-Bass.